arXiv:1607.07236v4 [hep-ph] 21 Mar 2017

Singlet Fermion Assisted Dominant Seesaw with Lepton Flavor and Number Violations and Leptogenesis

M. K. Parida *, **Bidyut Prava Nayak**
*Centre of Excellence in Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences
SOA University, Khandagiri Square, Bhubaneswar 751030, India

Abstract

In a recent review Mohapatra has discussed how type-I seesaw mechanism suppressed by fine tuning or specific textures of associated fermion mass matrices can form the basis of neutrino masses in TeV scale W_R boson models. In this paper we review recent works in another class of theories where the added presence of gauge singlet fermions render the type-I seesaw contribution vanishing but extended seesaw dominant. In this case the light neutrino mass formula is the same as the classic inverse seesaw derived earlier but the singlet fermion masses are governed by a separate type-I seesaw like formula. Embeddings of this mechanism in supersymmetric as well as non-supersymmetric SO(10) with low or intermediate masses of W_R or Z_R bosons are discussed. We also discuss how this cancellation criterion has led to a new mechanism of type-II seesaw dominance which permits $U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking scale much smaller than the left-handed triplet mass. Out of a number of new observable predictions, the most visible one in both cases are charged lepton flavor violating decays accessible to ongoing searches and dominant contribution to double beta decay mediated by the light gauge singlet fermions in the $W_L - W_L$ channel. These seesaw dominance mechanisms are applicable in the extensions of the SM and high, intermediate, or low scale left-right gauge theories with or without their SO(10) origin. Recent applications of this mechanism covering dark matter and leptogenesis are reviewed. Emergence of other dominant seesaw mechanisms are also briefly pointed out.

1 INTRODUCTION

Although the standard model (SM) of strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions has enjoyed tremendous success through numerous experimental tests, it has outstanding failures in three notable areas : neutrino masses,

baryon asymmetry of the universe, and dark matter. Two of the other conceptual and theoretical difficulties are that the SM can not explain disparate values of its gauge couplings, nor can it account for the monopoly of parity violation in weak interaction leaving out strong, electromagnetic, and gravitational interactions. In hitherto unverified extensions of the SM in the scalar, fermion, or gauge sectors, however, there are different theories for neutrino masses [1, 2], which mainly exploit various see-saw mechanisms [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143].

The most popular method of neutrino mass generation has been through type-I or canonical seesaw mechanism [5] which was noted to apply in the simplest extension of the SM through right-handed (RH) neutrinos encompassing family mixings [6]. Most of the problems of the SM have potentially satisfactory solutions in the minimal left-right symmetric (LRS) [8, 144, 145] grand unified theory based on $SO(10)$ [146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155]. Although the neutrino masses measured by the oscillation data [156] are most simply accommodated in $SO(10)$ if both the left-handed (LH) and the right-handed (RH) neutrinos are Majorana fermions, alternative interpretations in favour of Dirac neutrino masses within the standard model paradigm have been also advanced [4, 157, 158]. Currently a number of experiments [159, 160, 161, 162] on neutrinoless double beta ($0\nu\beta\beta$) decay are in progress to resolve the issue on the Dirac or Majorana nature of neutrinos [8, 9, 10].

Another set of physical processes under active experimental investigation are charged lepton flavor violating (LFV) decays, $\tau \to e\gamma$, $\tau \to \mu\gamma$, $\mu \to e\gamma$, $\mu \to e\bar{e}e$ where the minimally extended SM embracing small neutrino masses and GIM mechanism predicts branching ratios many orders smaller than their current experimental limits [163, 164, 165, 166]. However supersymmetric theories possess high potential to explain LFV decays closer to the current limits [167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174].

A special feature of left-right gauge theories [144, 145] and SO(10) grand unified theory (GUT) [146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152] is that the canonical seesaw formula [5, 6] for Majorana neutrino masses is invariably accompanied by the type-II seesaw formula [7, 8, 9]

$$M_\nu = m_\nu^{II} + m_\nu^I = f v_L - M_D \frac{1}{M_N} M_D^T. \tag{1}$$

The parameters entering into this hybrid seesaw formula have fundamentally appealing interpretations in Pati-Salam model [144] or SO(10) GUT. In eq.(1) $M_D(M_N)$ is Dirac (RH-Majorana) neutrino mass, $v_L \sim \lambda \frac{v_{wk}^2 V_R}{M_{\Delta_L}^2}$ is the induced vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the LH triplet Δ_L, $V_R = SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking VEV of the RH triplet Δ_R, and f is the Yukawa coupling of the triplets $\subset \overline{126}_H$ of SO(10). The same Yukawa coupling f also defines the RH neutrino mass $M_N = fV_R$. Normally, because of the underlying quark-lepton symmetry in SO(10) or Pati-Salam model, M_D is of the same order as M_u, the up-quark mass matrix, that drives the canonical seesaw scale to be large, $M_N \sim 10^{14}$ GeV. In the LR theory based upon $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times SU(3)_C (\equiv G_{2213})$, $M_D \sim M_l$ = charged lepton mass matrix. The neutrino oscillation data then pushes this seesaw scale to $M_N \sim 10^{10}$ GeV. Similarly the type-II seesaw scale is also around this mass. With such high seesaw scales in non-supersymmetric (non-SUSY) SO(10) model or LRS theory, there is no possibility of direct experimental verification of the seesaw mechanism or the associated W_R boson mass in near future. Likewise, the predicted LFV decay rates are far below the experimental limits.

On the other hand, if experimental investigations at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [175] are to confirm [176] TeV scale W_R [3, 177, 178, 179, 180] or Z_R boson production [181, 182] accompanied by RH Majorana neutrinos in the like-sign dilepton channel with jets, $pp \to l^{\pm} l^{\pm} jjX$, this should be also consistent with the neutrino oscillation data [156] through seesaw mechanisms. This is possible if the relevant seesaw scales are brought down to $\sim (1 - 10)$ TeV. [1]. Observable displaced vertices within SM extension has been also discussed in [185] and references therein.

The scope and applications of type-I seesaw to TeV scale W_R boson models have been discussed in the recent interesting review [3]. In such models D-Parity is at first broken at high scale that makes the left-handed triplet much heavier than the W_R- mass, but keeps the $G_{2213}(g_{2L} \neq g_{2R})$ unbroken down to much lower scale [147, 148, 149]. This causes the type-II seesaw con-

[1] In the RH neutrino extension of the SM, explanation of neutrino oscillation data with baryon asymmetry of the universe has been addressed for $\mathcal{O}(1 - 10)$ GeV type-I seesaw scale [183]. Interesting connections with double beta decay and dilepton production with displaced vertices at LHC have been also discussed with such low canonical seesaw scales [184] and the models need fine-tuning of the $\nu - N - \phi$ Yukawa coupling $y \sim 10^{-8}$ which is about 3 orders smaller than the electron Yukawa coupling. Neutrino mass generation with standard model paradigm and their interesting applications have been discussed in a recent review ref.[4]

tribution of the hybrid seesaw formula of eq. (1) to be severely damped out in the LHC scale W_R models where type-I seesaw dominates. But because M_N is also at the TeV scale, the predicted type-I seesaw contribution to light neutrino mass turns out to be 10^6-10^{11} times larger than the experimental values unless it is adequately suppressed while maintaining its dominance over type-II seesaw. Such suppressions have been made possible in two ways:(i) using fine tuned values of the Dirac neutrino mass matrices M_D [3, 184, 186] ,(ii) introducing specific textures to the fermion mass matrices M_D and/or M_N [168, 177, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198].

Possible presence of specific textures of constituent matrices in the context of inverse, linear or type-I seesaw models have been also explored [199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206]. More recently the hybrid seesaw ansatz for matter parity conserving SO(10) has been applied to explain neutrino masses, dark matter, and baryon asymmetrty of the universe without invoking any texture or intermediate scale in the non-supersymmetric SO(10) ramework [207].

Even without going beyond the SM paradigm and treating the added RH neutrinos in type-I seesaw as gauge singlet fermions at $\sim$ GeV scale, rich structure of new physics has been predicted including neutrino masses, dark matter, and baryon asymmetry of the universe. The fine-tuned value of the associated Dirac neutrino Yukawa coupling in these models is $y \sim 10^{-7}$ [183].

There are physical situations where type-II seesaw dominance, rather than type-I seesaw or inverse seesaw, is desirable [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 181, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216].

In the minimal case, being a mechanism driven by intermediate scale mass of LH triplet, type-II seesaw may not be directly verifiable; nevertheless it can be clearly applicable to TeV scale Z_R models in non-SUSY SO(10) to account for neutrino masses [181] provided type-I contribution is adequately suppressed. However, as in the fine-tuning of Dirac neutrino mass in the type-I seesaw case in LR models, the induced VEV needed for type-II seesaw can also be fine tuned using more than one electroweak bi-doublets reducing the triplet mass to lower scales accessible to accelerator tests. Looking to the eq.(1) and the structure of the induced VEV v_L, the most convenient method of suppressing type-I seesaw with respect to type-II seesaw is to make the type-I seesaw scale $M_N = fV_R$ larger and the triplet mass much smaller, $M_{\Delta_L} << M_N$. This requires the $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B_L}$ breaking scale or $M_{W_R} >> M_{\Delta_L}$. SUSY and non-SUSY SO(10) models have been constructed with this possibility and also in the case of split-SUSY [212, 214] where

$M_{W_R} \simeq 10^{17}$ GeV. Obviously such models have no relevance in the context of TeV scale W_R or Z_R bosons accessible to LHC searches.

Whereas the pristine type-I or type-II seesaw are essentially high scale formulas inaccessible for direct verification and need fine tuning or textures to bring them down to the TeV scale, the well known classic inverse seesaw mechanism [107] which has been also discussed by a number of authors [108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115] is essentially TeV scale seesaw. It has the high potential to be directly verifiable at accelerator energies and also by ongoing experiments on charged lepton flavor violations [163, 164, 165, 166].

Even without taking recourse to string theories, in addition to the three RH neutrinos $(N_i, i = 1, 2, 3)$, one more gauge singlet fermion per generation $(S_i, i = 1, 2, 3)$ is added to the SM where the Lagrangian contains the $N - S$ mixing mass term M. The heavy Majorana mass term is absent for RH neutrinos which turn out to be heavy pseudo-Dirac fermions. The introduction of the global lepton symmetry breaking term in the Lagrangian, $\mu_S S^T S$, gives rise to the well known classic inverse seesaw formula [107]

$$m_\nu = \frac{M_D}{M} \mu_S (\frac{M_D}{M})^T, \tag{2}$$

Naturally small value of μ_S in the $'$t Hooft sense [217] brings down the inverse seesaw mechanism to the TeV scale without having the need to fine tune the associated Dirac mass matrix or Yukawa couplings. The presence of texture zeros in the constituent matrices of different types of seesaw formulas have been investigated consistent with neutrino oscillation data [200, 201, 202, 204, 205].

Recently models have been discussed using TeV scale heavy pseudo Dirac neutrinos [178, 218, 219, 220, 221] where dominant RH Majorana mass term $M_N NN$ is either absent in the Lagrangian or negligible. In a contrasting situation [118, 139], when $\mu_S \sim M_{Planck}$, the seesaw scale $M \sim M_{GUT}$, and the model avoids the presence of any additional intermediate symmetry. While operating with the SM paradigm, it also dispenses with the larger Higgs representation $126_H \subset SO(10)$ in favour of much smaller one 16_H leading to the double-seesaw. The heavy RH neutrinos with $M_N \sim 10^{13}$ GeV, turn out to be Majorana fermions instead of being pseudo Dirac. While this is an attractive scenario in SUSY SO(10)[118] , the coupling unification is challenging in the non-SUSY SO(10).

As discussed above if type-I seesaw is the neutrino mass mechanism at the TeV scale, it must be appropriately suppressed either by finetuning or

by introducing textures to the relevant mass matrices[3]. On the otherhand if type-II seesaw dominance in LR models or SO(10) is to account for neutrino masses, W_R, Z_R boson masses must be at the GUT-PlancK scale in the prevailing dominance mechanisms [212, 214].

In view of this, it would be quite interesting to explore, especially in the ccontext of non-supersymmetric SO(10), possible new physics implications when the would be dominant type-I seesaw cancels out exactly and analytically from the light neutrino mass matrix even without needing any fine tuning or fermion mass textures in M_D and/or M_N . The complete cancellation of type-I seesaw in the presence of heavy RH Majorana mass term $M_N NN$ was explicitly proved in ref.[141] in the context of SM extension when both N_i and S_i are present manifesting in heavy RH neutrinos and lighter singlet fermions. We call this as gauge singlet fermion assisted extended seesaw dominance mechanism. Since then the mechanism has been utilised in explaining baryon asymmetry of the universe via low-scale leptogenesis [141], the phenomenon of dark matter (DM) [222] along with cosmic ray anomalies [223]. More recently this extended seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses in the SM extension has been exploited to explain the keV singlet fermion DM along with low-scale leptogenesis [224].

In the context of LR intermediate scales in SUSY SO(10), this mechanism has been applied to study coupling unification and leptogenesis [225, 226, 227] under gravitino constraint. Application to non-SUSY LR theory originating from Pati-Salam model [228] and non-SUSY SO(10) with TeV scale W_R, Z_R bosons have been made [229, 230] with the predictions of a number of experimentally testable physical phenomena by low energy experiments and including the observed dilepton excess at LHC [179]. In these models the singlet fermion assisted type-I seesaw cancellation mechanism operates and the extended seesaw (or inverse seesaw) formula dominates.

Following the standard lore in type-II seesaw dominant models, the dominant double beta decay rate in the $W_L - W_L$ channel is expected to be dominated by the exchange of the LH Higgs triplet Δ_L carrying $|B - L| = 2$. As such the predicted decay rate tends to be negligible in the limit of larger Higgs triplet mass. But it has been shown quite recently [181], that the type-II seesaw dominance can occur assisted by the gauge singlet fermion but with a phenomenal difference. Even for large LH triplet mass in such models that controls the type-II seesaw formula for light neutrino masses and mixings, the

double beta decay rate in the $W_L - W_L$ channel remains dominant as it is controlled by the light singlet fermion exchanges. Other attractive predictions are observable LFV decays, non-unitarity effects, and resonant leptogenesis mediated by TeV scale quasi-degenerate singlet fermions of the second and third generations. The model has been noted to have its origin in non-SUSY SO(10) [181]. All the three types of gauge singlet fermions in these models mentioned above are Majorana fermions on which we focus in this review.

This article is organised in the following manner. In Sec.2 we explain how the Kang-Kim mechanism [141] operates within the SM paradigm extended by singlet fermions. In Sec.3 we show how a generalised neutral fermion mass matrix exists in the appropriate extensions of the SM, LR theory, or SO(10). In Sec.4 we show emergence of the other dominant seesaw mechanism including the extended or inverse seesaw and type-II seesaw and cancellation of type-I seesaw. Predictions for LFV, CP violation and non-unitarity effect is discussed in Sec.5. Predictions on double beta decay mediated by light singlet fermions in the $W_L - W_L$ channel is discussed in Sec.6 where we have given its mass limits from the existing experimental data. Applications to resonant leptogenesis mediated by TeV scale singlet fermions in MSSM and SUSY SO(10) are briefly discussed in Sec.7. Singlet fermion assisted leptogenesis in non-SUSY SO(10) is discussed in Sec.8. This work is summarised in Sec.9 with conclusions.

2 MECHANISM OF EXTENDED SEESAW DOMINANCE IN SM EXTENSION

Using the explicit derivation of Kang and Kim [141], here we discuss how the type-I contribution completely cancels out paving the way for the dominance of extended seesaw mechanism. The SM is extended by introducing RH neutrinos $N_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ and an additional set of fermion singlets $S_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$, one for each generation. After electroweak symmetry breaking, the Yukawa Lagrangian in the charged lepton mass basis gives for the neutral fermions

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = M_D \overline{\nu} N + \frac{1}{2} M_N N^T N + M \overline{N} S + h.c) + \mu_S S^T S \tag{3}$$

where M_D = the Dirac neutrino mass matrix = $Y < \phi >$, Y being the Yukawa matrix. This gives the 9×9 neutral fermion mass matrix in the

(ν, N^c, S) basis,

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D & 0 \\ M_D^T & M_N & M^T \\ 0 & M & \mu_S \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The type-I seesaw cancellation leading to dominance of extended seesaw (or inverse seesaw) [107] proceeds in two steps: As $M_N >> M >> M_D, \mu_S$, it is legitimate to integrate out the RH N_i fields at first leading to the corresponding effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} &= \left(M_D \frac{1}{M_N} M_D^T\right)_{\alpha\beta} \nu_\alpha^T \nu_\beta + \left(M_L + M_D \frac{1}{M_N} M^T\right)_{\alpha m} \left(\overline{\nu_\alpha} S_m + \overline{S_m} \nu_\alpha\right) \\ &\quad + \left(M \frac{1}{M_N} M^T\right)_{mn} S_m^T S_n + \mu_S S^T S. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then diagonalisation of the 9×9 neutral fermion mass matrix including the result of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}$ gives conventional type-I seesaw term and another of opposite sign leading to the cancellation. The light neutrino mass predicted is the same as in the inverse seesaw case given in eq.(2)

It must be emphasized that the earlier realizations of the classic inverse seesaw formula [107] were possible [108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 115] with vanishing RH Majorana mass $M_N = 0$ in eq.(10).

Under the similar condition in which the type-I seesaw cancels out the Majorana mass m_S of the sterile neutrino and its mixing angle θ_S with light neutrinos are governed by

$$\begin{aligned} m_S &= \mu_S - M \frac{1}{M_N} M^T \sim -M \frac{1}{M_N} M^T, \\ \tan 2\theta_S &= 2 \frac{M_D}{M}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

As μ_S is naturally small, it is clear that type-I seesaw now controls the gauge singlet fermion mass, although it has no role to play in determining the LH neutrino mass. These results have been shown to emerge [142, 178, 179, 181, 229, 230] from SO(10) with gauge fermion siglet extensions by following the explicit block diagonalisation procedure in two steps while safeguarding the hierarchy $M_N >> M > M_D, \mu_S$ with the supplementary condition $\mu_S M_N < M^2$.

3 GENERALISED NEUTRAL FERMION MASS MATRIX

A left-right symmetric (LRS) gauge theory $G_{2213D}(g_{2L} = g_{2R})$ at higher scale ($\mu = M_P$) is known to lead to TeV scale asymmetric LR gauge theory $G_{2213}(g_{2L} \neq g_{2R})$ via D-Parity breaking [147, 148, 149]. This symmetry further breaks to the SM gauge symmetry by the VEV of the RH triplet $\Delta_R(1, 3, -2, 1)$ leading to massive W_R, Z_R bosons and RH neutrinos at the intermediate scale M_R. In stead of $G_{2213D}(g_{2L} = g_{2R})$ it is possible to start directly from $SO(10)$ which has been discussed at length in a number of investigations that normally leads to the type-I$\oplus$type-II hybrid seesaw formula. In the absence of additional sterile neutrinos, the neutral fermion matrix is standard 6×6 form. Here we discuss how a generalised 9×9 neutral fermion mass matrix that emerges in the presence of additional singlet fermions contains the rudiments of various seesaw formulas. As noted in Sec.1, the derivation of the minimal classic inverse seesaw mechanism [107] has been possible in theories gauge singlet fermion extensions of the SM are available[108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 247]. Extensive applications of this mechanism have been discussed and reported in a number of recent reviews [4, 169, 170, 171, 172, 232, 233]. Exploring possible effects on invisible Higgs decays [234, 235, 236], prediction of observable lepton flavor violation as a hall mark of the minimal classic inverse seeaw mechanism has attracted considerable attention earlier and during recent investigations [173, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242]. The effects of massive gauge singlet fermions have been found to be consistent with electroweak precision observables [243] Earlier its impact on a class of left-right symmetric models have been examined [244, 245, 246]. Prospects of lepton flavor violation in the context of linear seesaw and dynamical left-right symmetric model have been also investigated earlier[247].

It is well known that 15 fermions of one generation plus a right handed neutrino form the spinorial representation 16 of SO(10) grand unified theory [146]. In addition to three generation of fermions $16_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$, we also include one SO(10)-singlet fermion per generation $S_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$. We note that such singlets under the LR gauge group or the SM can originate from the non-standard fermion representations in SO(10) such as 45_F or 210_F.

Under G_{2213} symmetry the fermion and Higgs representations are,

Fermions

$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L \big(2, 1, 1/3, 3\big)$, $Q_R = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_R \big(1, 2, -1/3, 3^*\big)$,
$L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_l \\ l \end{pmatrix}_L \big(2, 1, -1, 1\big)$, $R = \begin{pmatrix} N_l \\ l \end{pmatrix}_R \big(1, 2, -1, 1\big)$,
$S_i = \big(1, 1, -1, 1\big)$.

Higgs

$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \big(2, 2, 0, 1\big)$, $\Delta_L = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_L^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta_L^{++} \\ \Delta_L^0 & -\Delta_L^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \big(3, 1, -2, 1\big)$,
$\Delta_R = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_R^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta_R^{++} \\ \Delta_R^0 & -\Delta_R^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \big(1, 3, -2, 1\big)$,
$\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L^0 \\ \chi_L^- \end{pmatrix} \big(2, 1, -1, 1\big)$, $\chi_R = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R^0 \\ \chi_R^- \end{pmatrix} \big(1, 2, -1, 1\big)$,
$\eta_o(1, 1, 0, 1)$,
where η_o is a D-Parity odd singlet with transformation property $\eta_o \to -\eta_o$ under $L \to R$. When this singlet acquires VEV $< \eta_o > \sim M_P$, D-Parity breaks along with the underlying left-right discrete symmetry but the asymmetric LR gauge theory G_{2213} is left unbroken down to the lower scales. The G_{2213} gauge theory can further break down to the SM directly by the VEV of RH Higgs triplet $\Delta_R(1, 3, -2, 1) \subset 126_H \subset SO(10)$ or the RH Higgs doublet $\chi_R(1, 2, -1, 1) \subset 16_H \subset SO(10)$. The D-Parity odd (even) singlets $\eta_o(\eta_e)$ were found to occur naturally in $SO(10)$ GUT theory [147, 148, 149]. Designating the quantum numbers of submultiplets under Pati-Salam symmetry $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_C$ ($\equiv G_{224}$), the submultiplet $(1, 1, 1) \subset 210_H \subset SO(10)$ is η_o where as the submultiplet $(1, 1, 1) \subset 54_H \subset SO(10)$ is η_e. Likewise the neutral component of the submultiplet $(1, 1, 15) \subset 45_H \subset SO(10)$ behaves as η_o, but that in $(1, 1, 15) \subset 210_H$ behaves as η_e. Thus the GUT scale symmetry breaking $SO(10) \to G_{224D}$ can occur by the VEV of 54_H in the direction $< \eta_e > \sim M_{GUT}$, but $SO(10) \to G_{224}$ can occur by the VEV of 210_H in the direction $< \eta_o > \sim M_{GUT}$. Likewise $SO(10) \to G_{2213D}$ can occur by the VEV of the neutral component $(1, 1, 0, 1)_H \subset (1, 1, 15)_H \subset 210_H$, but $SO(10) \to G_{2213}$ can occur by the VEV of the neutral component of $(1, 1, 0, 1)_H \subset (1, 1, 15)_H \subset 45_H$. As an example, one minimal chain with TeV scale LR gauge theory proposed recently in the context of like-sign dilepton signals observed at LHC is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
SO(10) \quad &\stackrel{(M_U=M_P)}{\longrightarrow} \quad SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times SU(3)_C \quad [G_{2213}] \\
&\stackrel{(M_R)}{\longrightarrow} \quad SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C \quad [\mathrm{SM}] \\
&\stackrel{(M_Z)}{\longrightarrow} \quad SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Q. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this symmetry breaking pattern all LH triplets and doublets are near the GUT scale, but RH triplets or doublets are near the G_{2213} breaking intermediate scale M_R which could be $\sim$ (few-100) TeV. Out of two minimal models with GUT scale D-Parity breaking satisfying the desired decoupling criteria $M_N >> M >> M_D, \mu_S$ r [179, 181], dominance of extended seesaw in the presence of gauge singlet fermions has been possible in ref.[179] with single G_{2213} intermediate scale corresponding to TeV scale W_R, Z_R bosons. The extended seesaw dominance in the presence of fermion singlets in SO(10) have been also realised including additional intermediate symmetries G_{2214D} and G_{224} where observable proton decay, TeV scale Z_R boson and RH Majorana neutrinos, observable proton decay, $n-\bar{n}$ oscillation, and rare kaon decay have been predicted. Interestingly the masses of W_R boson and lepto-quark gauge bosons of $SU(4)_C$ have been predicted at ~ 100 TeV which could be accessible to planned LHC at those energies where W_R boson scale $\sim (100 - 1000)$ TeV matching with observable $n - \bar{n}$ oscillation and rare kaon decay has been predicted. But the heavy RH neutrino and Z_R boson scales being near TeV scale have been predicted to be accessible to LHC and planned accelerators [229, 230]. That non-SUSY GUTs with two-intermediate scales permit a low mass Z_R boson was noted much earlier [248].

In eq.(7), instead of breaking directly to SM, the G_{2213} breaking may occur in two steps $G_{2213} \to G_{2113}SM$ where G_{2113} represents the gauge symmetry $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times SU(3)_C \;\; [G_{2113}]$. This promises the interesting possibility of TeV scale Z_R boson with the constraint $M_{W_R} >> M_{Z_R}$. Thus the model can be discriminated from the direct LR models if Z_R boson is detected at lower mass scales than the W_R-boson. There are currently ongoing accelerator searches for this extra heavy neutral gauge boson. This has been implemented recently with type-II seesaw dominance in the presence of added fermion singlets [181]. As we will discuss below both these types of models predict light neutrinos capable of mediating double beta decay rates in the $W_L - W_L$ channel saturating the current experimental limits. In

addition resonant leptogenesis mediated by heavy sterile neutrinos has been realised in the model of ref.[181].

The G_{2213} symmetric Yukawa Lagrangian descending from $SO(10)$ symmetry can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm Yuk} &= \sum_{i=1,2} Y_i^{\ell}\overline{\psi}_L\,\psi_R\,\Phi_i + f\,(\psi_R^c\,\psi_R\Delta_R + \psi_L^c\,\psi_L\Delta_L) + y_\chi\,(\overline{\psi}_R\,S\,\chi_R + \overline{\psi}_L\,S\,\chi_L) \\ &\quad +\text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\Phi_{1,2} \subset 10_{H_1,H_2}$ are two bidoublets, $(\Delta_L, \Delta_R) \subset 126_F$ and $(\chi_L, \chi_R) \subset 16_H$.

Including the induced VEV contribution to Δ_L, the Yukawa mass term can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = (M_D\overline{\nu}N + \frac{1}{2}M_N N^T N + M\overline{N}S + M_L\overline{\nu_L}S + h.c) + m_\nu^{II}\nu^T\nu + \mu_S S^T S. \tag{9}$$

Here the last term denotes the gauge invariant singlet mass term where naturalness criteria demands μ_S to be a very small parameter. In the (ν, S, N^C) basis the generalised form of the 9×9 neutral fermion mass matrix after electroweak symmetry breaking can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} m_\nu^{II} & M_L & M_D \\ M_L^T & \mu_S & M^T \\ M_D^T & M & M_N \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $M_D = Y\langle\Phi\rangle$, $M_N = f v_R$, M = $y_\chi\langle\chi_R^0\rangle$,$M_L = y_\chi\langle\chi_L^0\rangle$. In this model the symmetry braking mechanism and the VEVs are such that $M_N > M \gg M_D$. The LH triplet scalar mass M_{Δ_L} and RH neutrino masses being at the the heaviest mass scales in the Lagrangian, this triplet scalar field and the RH neutrinos are at first integrated out leading to the effective Lagrangian at lower scales [141, 181],

$$\begin{aligned}-\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} &= \left(m_\nu^{II} + M_D\frac{1}{M_N}M_D^T\right)_{\alpha\beta}\nu_\alpha^T\nu_\beta + \left(M_L + M_D\frac{1}{M_N}M^T\right)_{\alpha m}\left(\overline{\nu_\alpha}S_m + \overline{S_m}\nu_\alpha\right) \\ &\quad + \left(M\frac{1}{M_N}M^T\right)_{mn} S_m^T S_n + \mu_S S^T S. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

4 CANCELLATION OF TYPE-I SEESAW AND DOMINANCE OF OTHERS

(a) Cancellation of Type-I Seesaw

Whereas the heaviest RH neutrino mass matrix M_N separates out trivially, the other two 3×3 mass matrices $\mathcal{M}_\nu$, and $\mathcal{M}_S$ are extracted through various steps of block diagonalisation. The details of various steps are given in refs. [181, 229, 230]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_\nu &= m_\nu^{II} + \left(M_D M_N^{-1} M_D^T\right) - (M_D M_N^{-1} M_D^T) + M_L (M^T M_N^{-1} M)^{-1} M_L^T \\
&\quad - M_L (M^T M_N^{-1} M)^{-1} (M^T M_N^{-1} M_D^T) - (M_D M_N^{-1} M)(M^T M_N^{-1} M)^{-1} M_L^T \\
&\quad + M_D M^{-1} \mu_S M_D M^{-1^T}, \\
\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{S} &= \mu_S - M M_N^{-1} M^T + ..., \\
\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{N} &= M_N. \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

From the first of the above three equations, it is clear that the type-I seesaw term cancels out with another of opposite sign resulting from block diagonalisation. Then the generalised form of the light neutrino mass matrix turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_\nu &= f v_L + M_L M^{-1} M_N (M^T)^{-1} M_L^T \\
&\quad - [M_L M^{-1} M_D^T + M_D (M_L M^{-1})^T] + \frac{M_D}{M} \mu_S (\frac{M_D}{M})^T. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

In different limiting cases this generalised light neutrino mass matrix reduces to the corresponding well known neutrino mass formulas.

(b). Linear Seesaw and Double Seesaw

With $M_L = y_\chi v_{\chi_L}$ that induces $\nu - S$ mixing, the second term in eq.(13) is the double seesaw formula,

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu^{(\text{double})} = M_L M^{-1} M_N (M^T)^{-1} M_L^T. \qquad (14)$$

The third term in eq.(13) represents the linear seesaw formula

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu^{(\text{linear})} = -[M_L M^{-1} M_D^T + M_D (M_L M^{-1})^T]. \qquad (15)$$

Similar formulas have been shown to emerge from single-step breaking of SUSY GUT models [119, 140] which require the presence of three gauge singlet fermions.

Using the D-Parity breaking mechanism of ref.[147, 148], an interesting model of linear see saw mechanism in the context of supersymmetric SO(10) with suceessful gauge coupling unification [249] has been suggested in the presence of three gauge singlet fermions. A special feature of this linear seesaw, compared to others [119, 140] is that the neutrino mass formula is suppressed by the SUSY GUT scale but it is decoupled from the low $U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking scale. In addition to prediction of TeV scale superpartners, the model provides another important testing ground through manifestation of extra Z' boson at LHC or via low-energy neutrino scattering experiment [250].

(c). Type-II Seesaw

When the assigned or induced VEV $< \chi_L >= 0$, or negligible and $\mu_S \to 0$, in eq.(2), type-II seesaw dominates leading to

$$m_\nu \simeq f v_L. \tag{16}$$

As noted briefly in Sec.1, in the conventional models [212, 214] of type-II seesaw dominance in SO(10), the W_R, Z_R boson masses have to be at the GUT-Planck scale. As a phenomenal development, this singlet-fermion assisted type-II seesaw dominance permits $U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking scale associated with G_{2213} or G_{2113} breaking (i,e the W_R, Z_R boson masses) accessible to accelerator energies including LHC. At the same time the heavy $N - S$ mixing mass terms $M_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ at the TeV scale are capable of mediating observable LFV decay rates closer to their current experimental values [163, 164, 165, 166] as discussed in Sec.5. Consequences of this new Type-II seesaw dominance with TeV scale Z_R boson mass has been investigated in detail [181] in which charged triplet mediated LFV decay rates are negligible but singlet fermion decay rates are observable. Also predictions of observable double beta decay rates close to their experimental limits are discussed below in Sec.6. While the principle of such a dominance is clearly elucidated in this derivation, the details of the model with TeV scale G_{2213} symmetry will be reported elsewhere.

(d). Extended Seesaw

It is quite clear that the classic inverse see saw formula [107] of eq.(2) for light neutrino mass emerges when the LH triplet mass is large and the VEV $< \chi_L >= 0$ which is possible in a large class of non-SUSY models with left-right, Pati-salam, and SO(10) gauge groups with $D - Parity$ broken at high scales [147, 148, 149] with $M_N = 0$ leading to RH neu-

trinos as heavy pseudo Dirac fermions. Particularly in SO(10) some non-SUSY examples are [219, 178] and SUSY examples are [218, 251] non-SUSY SO(10) exa even without taking recourse to superstring theories provided we reconcile with gauge hierarchy problem and fine-tuned non-SUSY models [178, 179, 229, 228].

As noted in Sec.1, the derivation of classic inverse seesaw mechanism [107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115] has $M_N = 0$ in eq.(10). More recent applications in LRS and GUTs have been discussed with relevant reference to earlier works in [190, 219, 220, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256].

In this section we have discussed that, in spite of the presence of the heavy Majorana mass term of RH neutrino, each of the three seesaw mechanisms : (i) Extended Seesaw, (ii) Type-II seesaw , (iii) Linear Seesaw or Double seesaw, can dominate as light neutrino mass ansatz when the respective limiting conditions are satisfied. Also the seesaw can operate in the presence of TeV scale G_{2213} or G_{2113} gauge symmetry originating from non-SUSY SO(10) [181, 229, 230]. As the TeV scale theory spontaneously breaks to low-energy theory $U(1)_{em} \times SU(3)_C$ through the electroweak symmetry breaking of the standard model, these seesaw mechanisms are valid in the SM extensions with suitable Higgs scalars and three generations of N_i and S_i. For example without taking recourse to LR gauge theory type-II seesaw can be embedded into the SM extension by inclusion of LH Higgs triplet $\Delta_L(3, -2, 1)$ with $Y = -2$. The induced VEV can be generated by the trilinear term $\lambda M_{tr}.\Delta_L^\dagger \phi^\dagger \phi^\dagger$ [257]. The origin of such induced VEV in the direct breaking of $SO(10) \to SM$ is well known.

(e). Hybrid Seesaw

In the minimal SO(10), without extra fermion singlets, one example of hybrid seesaw with type-I$\oplus$type-II is given in eq.(1). There are a number of investigations where this hybrid seesaw has been successful in parametrising small neutrino masses with large mixing angles along with $\theta_{13} \sim 8^o$ in SUSY SO(10) [209, 210] and LR models. But the present mechanism of type-I seesaw cancellation suggests a possible new hybrid seesaw formula as a combination of type-II$\oplus$Linear$\oplus$Extended seesaw as revealed from eq.(13). Neutrino physics phenomenology may yield interesting new results with this new combination with additional degrees of freedom to deal with neutrino oscillation data and leptogenesis covering coupling unification in SO(10) which has a very rich structure for dark matter.

Using the D-Parity breaking mechanism of ref.[147, 148], an interesting model of linear see saw mechanism in the context of supersymmetric SO(10) with suceessful gauge coupling unification [249] has been suggested in the presence of three gauge singlet fermions. A special feature of this linear seesaw, compared to others [119] is that the neutrino mass formula is suppressed by the SUSY GUT scale but seedecoupled from the low $U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking scale which can be even at $\sim$ few TeV. This serves as a testing ground through manifestation of extra Z' boson at LHC or via low-energy neutrino scattering experiments [250]. Being a SUSY model it also predicts TeV scale superpartners expected to be visible at LHC.

(f). Common Mass Formula for Sterile Neutrinos

In spite of different types of seesaw formulas in the corresponding limiting cases the formula for sterile neutrino mass remains the same as in eq.(6) which does not emerge from the classic inverse seesaw approach with $M_N = 0$

We conclude this section by noting that the classic inverse seesaw mechanism was gauged at the TeV scale through its embedding in non-SUSY SO(10) with the prediction of experimentally accessible Z' boson, LFV decays, and non-unitarity effects [219]. The possibility of gauged and extended inverse seesaw mechanism with dominant contributions to both lepton flavor and lepton number non-conservation was at first noted in the context Pati-Salam model in ref.[228] and in the context of non-SUSY SO(10) in ref.[229, 230] with type-I seesaw cancellation. The generalised form of hybrid seesaw of eq.(13) in non-SUSY SO(10) with type-I cancellation was realised in ref.[181]. As a special case of this model, the experimentally verifiable phenomena like extra Z' boson, resonant leptogenesis, LFV decays, and double beta decay rates closer to the current search limits were decoupled from the intermediate scale type-II seesaw dominated neutrino mass genaration mechanism. Proton lifetime prediction for $p \to e + \pi^0$ mode also turns out to be within the accessible range.

5 PREDICTIONS FOR LFV DECAYS, CP VIOLATION, AND NONUNITARITY

The presence of non-vanishing neutrino masses with generational mixing evidenced from the oscillation data [156], in principle, induce charged lepton flavor violating (LFV) decays. For a recent review see [169] and references

therein.The observed neutrino mixings through weak charged currents lead to non-conservationn of lepton flavor numbers L_e, L_μ, and L_τ resulting in the predictions of $\mu \to e + \gamma$, $\tau \to e + \gamma$, $\tau \to \mu + \gamma$, $\mu \to ee\bar{e}$, and a host of others [163, 164, 165, 166]. If the non-SUSY SM is minimally extended to embrace tiny netrino masses and mixings through GIM mechanism as the only underlying source of charged lepton flavor violation, the loop mediated branching ratio is

$$\mathrm{Br}\,(\ell_\alpha \to \ell_\beta + \gamma) \simeq \frac{\alpha}{32\pi} |\sum_{j=2,3} U_{\alpha i} {U_{\beta j}}^* \frac{\Delta m_{j1}^2}{M_W^2}|^2. \tag{17}$$

These branching ratios turn out to be $\leq 10^{-53}$ ruling out any possibility of experimental observation of the decay rates. In high intermediate scale non-SUSY SO(10) models with Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D similar to the up-quark mass matrix M_u, the type-I seesaw ansatz for neutrino masses constrains the heavy RH neutrino masses $M_N \geq 10^{12}$ GeV resulting in branching ratio values $\leq 10^{-40}$ which are far below the current experimental limits. Another drawback of the model is that the underlying neutrino mass generation mechanism and the predicted W_R boson mass can not be verified directly.

On the other hand SUSY GUTs are well known to provide profound predictions of CP-violations and LFV decay branching ratios closer to the current experimental limits inspite of their high scale seesaw mechanisms for neutrino masses. Some of the extensively available reviews on this subject are [167, 169, 170, 255, 258]. The superpartner masses near $100 - 1000$ GeV are necessary for such predictions.

As profound applications of the classic inverse seesaw mechanism it has been noted that the presence of heavy pseudo Dirac fermions would manifest through LFV decays [114, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241] and also in lepton number violation [259, 260]. They are also likely to contribute to the modifications of the electroweak observables [243] keeping them within their allowed limits. It has been also emphasized that the SUSY inverse seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses further enhances the LFV decay rates [237]. As a direct test of the seesaw mechanism, these heavy particles with masses near the TeV scale can be produced at high energy colliders including LHC [178, 179, 181, 220, 218]. Other interesting signatures have been reviewed in ref.[4].

More interestingly a linear seesaw formula has been predicted from supersymmetric SO(10) with an extra Z' boson mass accessible to LHC [121]. The TeV scale classic inverse seesaw mechanism and W_R gauge boson masses have

been embedded in SUSY SO(10) with rich struture for leptonic CP violation, non-unitarity effects, and LFV decay branching ratios accessible to ongoing experiments [218]. The impact of such a model with TeV scale pseudo Dirac type RH neutrinos has been investigated on proton lifetime predictions and leptogenesis [251]

As supersymmetry has not been experimentally observed so far, an interesting conceptual and practical issue is to confront LFV decay rates accessible to ongoing experimental searches along with the observed tiny values of light neutrino masses. In this section we summarize how, in the absence of SUSY, the classic inverse seesaw and the extended seesaw could still serve as powerful mechanisms to confront neutrino mass, observable lepton flavor violation [178, 219] and, in addition, dominant lepton number violation [179, 228, 229, 230] in non-SUSY SO(10). The possibilities of detecting TeV scale W_R bosons have been also explored recently in non-SUSY SO(10) [180] and in [179, 228, 229, 230]. Besides earler works in left-right-symmetric model [261] and those cited in Sec.1-Sec.4, more recent works include [153, 158, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266], and in references cited in these papers.

In contrast to negligible LFV decay rates and branching ratios predicted in the non-SUSY SM modified by GIM mechanism, we discuss in Sec.5.2 how the non-SUSY SO(10) predicts the branching ratios in the range $10^{-13}-10^{-16}$ consistent with small neutrino masses dictated by classic inverse seesaw, extended inverse seesaw or Type-II seesaw in the presence of added fermion singlets.

5.1 Neutrino Mixing and Non-Unitarity Matrix

The light neutrino flavor state is now a mixture of three mass eigen states $\hat{\nu}_i, \hat{s}_i$ and $\hat{N}_i$

$$\nu_\alpha = U_{\alpha,i}\hat{\nu}_i + \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,i}\hat{s}_i + \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,i}\hat{N}_i, \tag{18}$$

where in the diagonal bases of S_i and N_i $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,i} = (M_D/M)_{\alpha,i}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,i} = (M_D/M_N)_{\alpha,i}$. In cases where the matrices M, M_N are non-diagonal, the corresponding flavor mixing matrices are taken as additional factors to define $\nu-S$ or $\nu-N$ mixing matrices. For the sake of simplicity, treating the $N-S$ mixing mass matrix M as diagonal

$$M = \mathrm{diag}(M_1, M_2, M_3), \tag{19}$$

and, under the assumed hierarchy $M_N >> M$, the formula for the non-unitarity deviation matrix element $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ has been defined in the respective cases [179, 181, 228, 229, 230, 267, 268]

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \frac{1}{2} X.X^{\dagger} = M_D M_R^{-2} M_D^{\dagger}, \\ \eta_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \frac{M_{D_{\alpha k}} M^*_{D_{\beta k}}}{M_k^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D needed for the fits to neutrino oscillation data through extended seesaw formula and prediction of nonunitarity effects has been derived from the GUT scale fit of charged fermion masses in the case of non-SUSY SO(10)[179, 181, 219, 229, 230]. For this purpose, the available data at the electroweak scale on charged fermion masses and mixings are extrapolated to the GUT scale [269]. The fitting is done following the method of ref.[270] by suitably adding additional contributions due to VEVs of additional bi-doublets or higher dimensional operators, wherever necessary. In the inverse seesaw case with almost degenerate heavy pseudo Dirac neutrinos, M_D has been derived in the case of SUSY SO(10) with TeV scale G_{2213} symmetry [218] and in non-SUSY SO(10) with TeV scale G_{2113} symmetry[219]. In the case of extended seesaw dominance in non-SUSY SO(10) it has been derived in ref.[179, 228, 229, 230] whereas for type-II seesaw dominance they it has been derived in ref.[181]. The value of M_D thus derived at the GUT scale is extrapolated to the TeV scale following the top-down approach. It turns out that such values are approximately equal to the one shown in the following section at eq.(29).

For the general non-degenerate case of the M matrix, ignoring the heavier RH neutrino contributions, and saturating the upper bound $|\eta_{\tau\tau}| < 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\tau\tau} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{0.1026}{M_1^2} + \frac{7.0756}{M_2^2} + \frac{6762.4}{M_3^2} \right] \\ &= 2.7 \times 10^{-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By inspection this equation gives the lower bounds

$M_1 > 4.35$ (GeV), $M_2 > 36.2$(GeV), $M_3 > 1120$ (GeV). and for the degenerate case $M_{Deg} = 1213$ GeV. $M(1213, 1213, 1212)$ GeV. For the partial

$m_{R_1} = m_{R_2}$ (GeV)	m_{R_3} (GeV)	$\|\eta_{e\mu}\|$	$\delta_{e\mu}$	$\|\eta_{e\tau}\|$	$\delta_{e\tau}$	$\|\eta_{\mu\tau}\|$	$\delta_{\mu\tau}$
1213.11	1213.11	2.737×10^{-8}	1.920	4.543×10^{-7}	1.78	2.318×10^{-5}	2.391×10^{-7}
500	1280	8.132×10^{-7}	1.326	3.746×10^{-7}	1.456	2.426×10^{-5}	2.723×10^{-5}
100	1119.67	6.543×10^{-6}	0.728	4.834×10^{-6}	0.974	2.975×10^{-5}	8.932×10^{-4}
50	1545.31	7.652×10^{-6}	0.203	9.754×10^{-6}	0.342	3.424×10^{-5}	2.813×10^{-3}

Table 1: Predictions of moduli and phases of nonunitarity matrix $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ as a function of allowed values of masses M_1, M_2, and M_3. The Dirac-neutrino matrix is the same as in ref.[181]

degenerate case of $M_1 = M_2 \neq M_3$ the solutions can be similarly derived as in ref[181, 229] and one example is $M(100, 100, 1319.67)$ GeV. .

Experimentally constrained lower bounds of the non-unitarity matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_{\tau\tau}| &\leq 2.7 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |\eta_{\mu\mu}| \leq 8.0 \times 10^{-4}, \\ |\eta_{ee}| &\leq 2.0 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |\eta_{e\mu}| \leq 3.5 \times 10^{-5}, \\ |\eta_{e\tau}| &\leq 8.0 \times 10^{-3}, \quad |\eta_{\mu\tau}| \leq 5.1 \times 10^{-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Out of several estimations of the elements of η-matrx [179, 181, 219, 228, 229, 230] carried out in non-SUSY SO(10), here we give one example of ref.[181]. Using the Dirac neutrino mass matrix from ref.[181] and allowed solutions of M_i, the values of the $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ parameters and their phases as functions of M_i are determined using eq.(20). These results are presented in Table 1.

5.2 LFV Decay Branching Ratios vs. Neutrino Mass

The most important outcome of non-unitarity effect is expected to manifest through ongoing experimental searches for LFV decays such as $\tau \to e\gamma$, $\tau \to \mu\gamma$,$\mu \to e\gamma$ [163, 164, 165, 166, 173]. In left-right symmetric models the LFV decay contribution due to $W_R - N_i (i = 1, 2)$ mediaton has been computed as early as 1981 assuming a GIM like mechanism in the RH sector [174]. Some of the current experimental bounds on the branching ratios are $\mathrm{Br}(\mu^+ \to e^+\gamma) < 5.7 \times 10^{-13}$, $\mathrm{Br}(\mu^+ \to e^+\gamma) < 5.7 \times 10^{-13}$, $\mathrm{Br}(\mu^+ \to e^+\gamma) < 5.7 \times 10^{-13}$, $\mathrm{Br}(\mu^+ \to e^+\gamma) < 5.7 \times 10^{-13}$. An uptodate list of experimental results on various LFV processes and their future projections

have been summarised in [173]. In these models contribution to the branching ratios due to the heavier the RH neutrinos is subdominant compared to the lighter singlet fermions

$$\mathrm{Br}\left(\ell_\alpha \to \ell_\beta + \gamma\right) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm w}^3\, s_{\rm w}^2\, m_{\ell_\alpha}^5}{256\,\pi^2\, M_W^4\, \Gamma_\alpha} \left|\mathcal{G}^N_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{G}^S_{\alpha\beta}\right|^2 , \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where} \quad \mathcal{G}^N_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_k \left(\mathcal{V}^{\nu\,N}\right)_{\alpha\,k} \left(\mathcal{V}^{\nu\,N}\right)^*_{\beta\,k} \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{m^2_{N_k}}{M^2_{W_L}}\right) , \\ \mathcal{G}^S_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_j \left(\mathcal{V}^{\nu\,S}\right)_{\alpha\,j} \left(\mathcal{V}^{\nu\,S}\right)^*_{\beta\,j} \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{m^2_{S_j}}{M^2_{W_L}}\right) , \\ \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}(x) &= -\frac{2x^3+5x^2-x}{4(1-x)^3} - \frac{3x^3 \ln x}{2(1-x)^4} . \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Because of the condition $M_N >> M$, the RH neutrino exchange contribution is however damped out compared to the sterile fermion singlet contributions. Using allowed solutions for M_1, M_2, M_3, our estimations in the partial degenerate case are given in Table 2.

$M_{1,2}$ (GeV)	M_3 (GeV)	$BR(\mu \to e\gamma)$	$BR(\tau \to e\gamma)$	$BR(\tau \to \mu\gamma)$
1213.11	1213.11	6.43×10^{-17}	8.0×10^{-16}	2.41×10^{-12}
500	1280	1.2×10^{-16}	4.7×10^{-15}	1.45×10^{-12}
100	1319.67	1.61×10^{-16}	6.04×10^{-15}	1.80×10^{-12}
50	1545.31	9.0×10^{-15}	3.40×10^{-14}	8.0×10^{-12}

Table 2: Branching ratios for LFV decays $\mu \to e\gamma$, $\tau \to e\gamma$, and $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ as function of $M_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$

As a demonstration of solutions to the conceptual and practical issue of predicting experimentally accessible LFV decay branching ratios consistent with tiny neutrino masses in the dominant seesaw mechanisms (in the event of type-I seesaw cancellation) we present results in two specific non-SUSY examples in SO(10):(i)type-II dominance [181], (ii) extended inverse seesaw dominance [179, 228, 229, 230]. We have used the Dirac neutrino mass matrices and the fixed value of the matrix μ_S from the respective references.

Our predictions for branching ratios as a function of the lightest neutrino mass are shown in in Fig.1 for the type-II dominance case. In this figure we have also shown variation of the LH triplet mass as expected from the type-II seesaw formula. But inspite of the large value of the triplet mass that normally predicts negligible LFV branching ratios, our model gives experimentally accessible values.



Figure 1: Variation of scalar triplet mass M_{Δ_L} (left-panel) and LFV branching ratio (right-panel) as a function of the lightest neutrino mass for different values of λ in a $type-II$ seesaw dominant model where we have followed normal ordering. The three alomost horizontal lines represent the LFV branching ratios for $M = 1.3$ TeV.

The corresponding results for the extended inverse seesaw case is shown in Fig.2 for the degenerate values of the $N-S$ mixing mass term $M = M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = 1.2$ TeV

We note that the predictions of LFV decay braching with classic inverse seesaw [107] embedding in non-SUSY SO(10) as investigated in [219] with TeV scale Z' boson would be also similar to Fig.2.

5.3 CP-Violation due to Non-Unitarity

The standard contribution to the CP violation is determined by the rephasing invariant $J_{\rm CP}$ associated with the Dirac phase δ_{CP} and matrix elements of the PMNS matrix

$$J_{\rm CP} \equiv {\rm Im}\left(U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta j} U^*_{\alpha j} U^*_{\beta j}\right) = \cos\theta_{12}\,\cos^2\theta_{13}\,\cos\theta_{23}\,\sin\theta_{12}\,\sin\theta_{13}\,\sin\theta_{23}\,\sin\delta_{\rm CP}.$$



Figure 2: Same as Fig.1 but for extended inverse seesaw dominance. The left panel represents variation of $N-S$ mixing mass term M as a function of lightest neutrino mass where we have followed normal ordering. The three lines in the right panel represent the LFV branching ratios.

Because of the presence of non-unitarity effects , the leptonic CP-violation can be written as

$$\mathcal{J}^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\, i}\mathcal{V}_{\beta\, j}\mathcal{V}^*_{\alpha\, j}\mathcal{V}^*_{\beta\, j}\right) \simeq J_{\rm CP} + \Delta J^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}\,, \tag{25}$$

where [179, 181, 186, 218, 219, 229, 267, 268]

$$\Delta J^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} = -\sum_{\rho=e,\mu,\tau} \mathrm{Im}\Big[\eta_{\alpha\rho}\, U_{\rho i}\, U_{\beta j}\, U^*_{\alpha j}\, U^*_{\beta i} + \eta_{\beta\rho}\, U_{\alpha i}\, U_{\rho j}\, U^*_{\alpha j}\, U^*_{\beta i} + \eta^*_{\alpha\rho}\, U_{\alpha i}\, U_{\beta j}\, U^*_{\rho j}\, U^*_{\beta j} + \eta^*_{\beta\rho}\, U_{\alpha i}\, U_{\beta j}\, U^*_{\alpha j}\, U^*_{\rho j}\Big]\,. \tag{26}$$

Model predictions for deviations from rephasing invariant matrix defined in eq.(26) are presented in Table 3 for different allowed values of $M_i \equiv m_{R_i}$. In order to visualise how far the predicted LFV decay branching ratios are in agreement with neutrino mass values derived from the new seesaw mechanisms which survive after type-I cancellation, we have examined two cases as examples: (i)Type-II seesaw dominance [181] and (ii) extended seesaw dominance [228, 229, 230]. We have used the values of the Dirac neutrino mass matrix and the value of μ_S from these references.

$M_{1,2}$ (GeV)	M_3 (GeV)	$\Delta\mathcal{J}^{12}_{e\mu}$	$\Delta\mathcal{J}^{23}_{e\mu}$	$\Delta\mathcal{J}^{23}_{\mu\tau}$	$\Delta\mathcal{J}^{31}_{\mu\tau}$	$\Delta\mathcal{J}^{12}_{\tau e}$
1213.11	1213.11	-2.1×10^{-6}	$-2.4\times10^{-}6$	$1.4\times10^{-}4$	1.2×10^{-4}	1.1×10^{-4}
500	1280	-2.1×10^{-6}	$-2.4\times10^{-}6$	$1.4\times10^{-}4$	1.2×10^{-4}	1.1×10^{-4}
100	1319.67	-2.0×10^{-6}	$-3.0\times10^{-}6$	$1.3\times10^{-}4$	1.8×10^{-4}	3.4×10^{-5}
50	1545.31	-1.7×10^{-6}	$-1.98\times10^{-}6$	$1.1\times10^{-}4$	1.8×10^{-4}	8.4×10^{-5}

Table 3: Allowed deviations of the rephasing invariant matrix J_{CP} as a result of weak leptonic CP-violation and non-unitarity effects defined in eq.(26)

6 NEUTRINOLESS DOUBLE BETA DECAY

6.1 Double Beta Decay Predictions in the $W_R - W_R$ Channel

The ongoing experiments on double beta decay without any conclusive results have led to a surge of investigations through different theoretical predictions including LR gauge theories [264, 271, 272]. While the standard light neutrino exchange amplitude is $\propto G_F^2 \sum_i U_{ei}^2 m_i$ in the $W_L - W_L$ channel, the RH neutrino exchange amplitude in the $W_R - W_R$ channel is [273, 274, 275] $\propto G_F^2 [\frac{M_W}{M_{W_R}}]^4 \frac{1}{M_N}$. Thus the major suppression factor $[M_W/M_{W_R}]^4 < 10^{-4}$ apart from the inverse proportionality factor M_N^{-1}. The RH triplet exchange contribution is also suppressed [276] and the available experimental limit on the double beta decay rates has led to the lower bound on the doubly charged Higgs mass [271]

$$M_{\Delta_R^{++}} \geq 500 \left(\frac{3.5\text{TeV}}{M_{W_R}}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{M_N}{3\text{TeV}}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (27)$$

Possible dominant contribution [228] in the $W_L - W_R$ channel has been also suggested due to what are known as $\eta-$ and $\lambda-$ diagrams [264]. It has been concluded [3] that the lack of observation of double beta decay can not rule out TeV scale LR models.

6.2 Double Beta Decay Predictions in the $W_L - W_L$ Channel

In [229] it was noted in the context of extended gauged inverse seesaw mechanism in non-SUSY $SO(10)$ that a new and dominant contribution to $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay exists through left-handed weak charged currents in the $W_L - W_L$ channel via exchanges of fermion singlets S_i. That the light sterile neutrinos may ha ve a dominant effect was also noted in the context of extensions of SM or LR models [143] where type-I contributions to neutrino mass was also included. As such this model [143] did not use cancellation mechanism for the type-I seesaw contributions. The sterile fermions mix quite prominently through Dirac neutrino mass matrix which is well known to be of order of up quark mass matrix. As already noted the sterile neutrinos acquire Majorana masses $m_S \simeq \mu_S - M\frac{1}{M_N}M^T \simeq -M\frac{1}{M_N}M^T$. The Feynman diagram for double beta decay due to light sterile neutrino exchange is shown in Fig.3.



Figure 3: Feynman diagram for neutrinoless double beta decay due to exchange of light sterile neutrino S

.

The (ei) element of the $\nu - S$ mixing matrix is

$$\mathcal{V}_{ei}^{\nu S} = (\frac{M_D}{M})_{ei}, \tag{28}$$

where the Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D has been given in different $SO(10)$ models from fits to the charged fermion masses at the GUT scale and then running it down to the TeV scale in non-SUSY SO(10) models [179, 181, 219, 229, 230]. For example the Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D in the model[181] is

$$M_D(\text{GeV}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.014 & 0.04 - 0.01i & 0.109 - 0.3i \\ 0.04 + 0.01i & 0.35 & 2.6 + 0.0007i \\ 0.1 + 0.3i & 2.6 - 0.0007i & 79.20 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

The elements of the matrix M treated as diagonal is determined from constraints on LFV decays and the diagonal elements are estimated using the non-unitarity equation as discussed in the previous section. We derive the relevant elements of the mixing matrix $\mathcal{V}^{\nu S}$ using the structures of the the Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D given in eq.(29) and values of the diagonal elements of $M = (M_1, M_2, M_3)$ satisfying the non-unitarity constraint in eq.(21). The eigen values of the $S-$ fermion mass matrix are estimated for different cases using the structures of the RH Majorana neutrino mass matrices [181, 228, 229, 230] and allowed values of M_i satisfying the non-unitarity constraints through the formula $M_S = -M\frac{1}{M_N}M^T$.

Different particle exchange contributions for $0\nu 2\beta$ decay are changed by the chirality of the hadronic currents involved in the nuclear mass matrix element. In this model only the LH currents are significant or dominant while the RH current effects are negligible. The inverse half-life has been estimated with proper normalization factors by taking into account the effects of nuclear matrix elements [264, 273, 277, 278]

$$\begin{aligned} \left[T^{0\nu}_{1/2}\right]^{-1} &\simeq G^{0\nu}_{01}|\frac{\mathcal{M}^{0\nu}_{\nu}}{m_e}|^2|(\mathbf{m}^{ee}_{\nu} + \mathbf{m}^{ee}_{S} + \mathbf{m}^{ee}_{N})|^2 \\ &\simeq K_{0\nu}|(\mathbf{m}^{ee}_{\nu} + \mathbf{m}^{ee}_{S})|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The larger value of RH neutrino masses make negligible contribution to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay amplitude. In the above equation $G^{0\nu}_{01} = 0.686 \times 10^{-14}$ yrs^{-1}, $\mathcal{M}^{0\nu}_{\nu} = 2.58 - 6.64$, $K_{0\nu} = 1.57 \times 10^{-25}$ yrs^{-1}eV^{-2} and the two effective mass

parameters are

$$\mathbf{m}_{\nu}^{\rm ee} = \sum_i \left(\mathcal{V}_{e\,i}^{\nu\nu}\right)^2 \, m_{\nu}, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_{S}^{\rm ee} = \sum_i \left(\mathcal{V}_{e\,i}^{\nu S}\right)^2 \, \frac{|p|^2}{m_{S_i}}, \tag{32}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_{N}^{\rm ee} = \sum_i \left(\mathcal{V}_{e\,i}^{\nu N}\right)^2 \, \frac{|p|^2}{m_{N_i}}. \tag{33}$$

Here m_{S_i} is the eigen value of the $S-$ fermion mass

$$M_S = -M\frac{1}{M_N}M^T. \tag{34}$$

6.3 Singlet Fermion Exchange Dominated Half-Life

The nonstandard contributions to half life of double beta decay as a function of sterile neutrino mass has been discussed in [179, 181, 219, 229, 230]. The models predict $\nu - S$ mixing and sterile neutrino masses which have been used to predict the half-life in the case of different hierarchies of light neutrino masses:NH, IH, and QD. Using the estimations of ref.[230] which are also applicable to models of refs.[179, 181, 228, 229], scattered plots for the predicted half-life are shown in Fig.4, Fig.5, and Fig.6 for the three types of neutrino mass hierarchies.

In Fig.7 we show predictions in the QD case excluding and including the CP phases of Majorana type sterile neutrinos. The cancellations between light neutrino exchange amplitude and the sterile neutrino exchange amplitude is shown by the two peaks. When CP phases associated with the Majorana type sterile neutrino mass eigen value(s) are included the peaks are smoothened as shown by dotted lines[230].

In Fig.8 estimations on the lightest sterile neutrino masses are predicted which saturate the current experimental limit on the observed double beta decay half life using Ge$-$76 and Xe$-$136 nuclei for three different light neutrino mass hierarchies in each case. The uncertainties in the predicted masses correspond to the existing uncertainty in the neutrino virtuality momentum $|p| = 120 - 200$ MeV. The green horizontal line represents the average value

$$\hat{M}_{S_1} = 18 \pm 4 \quad \text{GeV}, \tag{35}$$



Figure 4: Scattered plots for half-life prediction of double beta decay as a function of neutral singlet fermion mass in the case of normal hierarchy (NH) of light neutrino masses from ^{76}Ge nucleus (left-panel) and from ^{136}Xe nucleus right panel.



Figure 5: Same as Fig.4 but for inverted hierarchy (IH) of light neutrino masses.



Figure 6: Same as Fig.4 but for quasi degenerate (QD) type light neutrino masses.



Figure 7: Prediction of half-life for double beta decay as a function of sterile neutrino mass in the case of QD type mass hierarchy with the common mass parameter $m_0 = 0.23$ eV. The peaks correspond to cancellation between light neutrino and sterile neutrino exchange amplitudes when Majorana CP phases of sterile neutrino is ignored. The dotted line shows the absence of peaks when CP phases are included [230]

of the lightest sterile neutrino mass determined from double beta decay experimental bound [230]. Lower values of this mass has been obtained using light neutrino assisted type-II seesaw dominance [181].



Figure 8: Prediction of light sterile neutrino mass from the saturation of experimental decay rates of ongoing searches for different active neutrino mass hierarchies. The horizontal green line indicates the average value of all results.

These predictions suggest that sterile neutrino exchange contribution dominates the double beta decay rate even when the light neutrino masses have NH or IH type of mass hierarchies. To predict double beta decay saturating the current experimental bounds, it is not necessary that light neutrinos should be quasi-degenerate in mass. On the other hand if double-beta decay is not found with half-life close to the current limits, then the solutions with light sterile neutrino masses in the range $\sim (2-15)$ GeV are ruled out, but the model with larger mass eigen values easily survives.

7 LEPTOGENESIS IN EXTENDED MSSM AND SUSY SO(10)

In the conventional type-I seesaw based leptogenesis models where heavy RH neutrino decays give rise to the desired lepton asymmetry [279], the

Davidson-Ibarra bound [280, 281] imposes a lower limit on the scale of leptogenesis, $M_{N_1} > 4.5 \times 10^9$ GeV [280, 281]. This also suggests the lower bound for the reheating temperature after inflation, $T_{RH} \geq 10^9$ GeV, that would lead to overproduction of gravitinos severely affecting the relic abundance of light nuclei since the acceptable limit has been set as $T_{RH} \leq 10^7$ GeV [282]. Several attempts have been made to evade the gravitino constraint on leptogenesis where sterile neutrino assisted results are interesting. Obviously gravitino constraint is satisfied in models with TeV scale resonant leptogenesis [283]. Also in the singlet fermion extended SUSY SO(10) where RH neutrinos are heavy pseudo Dirac neutrinos and neutrino mass formula is through inverse seesaw [107] , there is no problem due to gravitino constraint [218, 251]. We discuss the cases where all the three types of neutrinos are Majorana fermions.

7.1 Leptogenesis with Extended Seesaw Dominance

With extended seesaw realisation of leptogenesis in two types of SUSY models have been investigated under gravitino constraint:(i)MSSM extension with fermion singlets [141, 222, 223, 224], and (ii) Singlet extension of SO(10) with intermediate scale G_{2213} gauge symmetry. [225, 226]. We discuss their salient features.

7.1.1 MSSM Extension with Fermion Singlets

The Dirac neutrino mass matrix is identified with the charged lepton mass matrix in this model where MSSM is extended with the addition of heavy RH neutrinos N_i as well as additional singlets S_i [141], one for each generation. As already explained in the limit $M_N > M >> M_D, \mu_S$ extended seesaw formula, which is the same as the inverse seesaw formula for active neutrino mass. In this case resonant leptogenesis is implemented via quasi-degenerate RH neutrino decays at the TeV scale. It is well known that such resonant leptogenesis scenario with $M_{N_1} \sim M_{N_2} \sim 1$ TeV implemented through canonical seesaw, needs a very small mass splitting between the RH neutrinos $\frac{(M_{N_2}-M_{N_1})}{(M_{N_2}+M_{N_1})} \sim 10^{-6}$. With the the tension arising out of fitting the neutrino oscillation data being transferred from type-I seesaw to extended seesaw in the presence of additional sterile fermions, it is not unimaginable that this fine tuning associated with very tiny RH neutrino mass splitting could be adequately alleviated. The fermion singlets S_i give rise to a new

self-energy contribution and using this successful resonant leptogenesis has been found to be possible for a much larger mass ratio $\frac{M_{N_2}}{M_{N_1}} \sim 10$. Possibilities of ~ 100 MeV to ~ 10 GeV mass range for light sterile neutrinos have been pointed out. In a separate analysis the possibility of singlet Majorana fermion or singlet scalar as candidates of dark matter has been pointed out [222]. Realisation of doubly coexisting dark matter candidates in the context of extended seesaw framework has been pointed out [223].

7.1.2 Leptogenesis in SUSY SO(10)

In non-SUSY minimal LR models where M_D is similar to charged lepton mass matrix successful leptogenesis emerges with intermediate scale hierarchical RH neutrino masses [284]. In SUSY SO(10) the underlying quark-lepton unification forces the Dirac neutrino mass to be similar to the up-quark mass matrix. This pushes the type-I seesaw scale closer to the GUT scale, $M_R \geq 10^{14}$ GeV and rules out the possibility of low scale W_R bosons accessible to accelerator searches in foreseeable future unless the canonical seesaw ansatz is given up, for example, in favour of inverse seesaw with TeV scale pseudo Dirac neutrinos and W_R bosons [218, 251]. With heavy right-handed Majorana neutrinos and GUT-scale LR breaking scale, successful leptogenesis has been implemented in realistic SUSY SO(10) [285]. With the help of an effective dim.5 operator ansatz which originates from renormalisable interactions at GUT-PlancK scale in SUSY SO(10) (without using 126_H) both thermal and non-thermal leptogenesis [286, 287] have been discussed with heavy hierarchical RH neutrino of masses [288]. Possible solutions to the allowed parameterspace to evade gravitino constraint have been also discussed in this work.

Apart from the models with resonant leptogenesis, possibility of leptogenesis under gravitino constraint in SUSY SO(10) has been realised with hierarchical RH neutrinos assisted by sterile neutrinos. As already noted above, in these cases the extended seesaw formula controls the neutrino mass as a result of cancellation of type-I seesaw contribution. Gauge coupling unification in these SO(10) models requires the G_{2213} symmetry to occur at the intermediate scale in the renormalizable model [226]. A common feature of both these models [225, 226] is the generation of lepton asymmetry through the decay of hierarchical sterile neutrinos through their respective mixings with heavier RH neutrinos which are also hierarchical.

An important and specific advantage of heavy gauge-singlet neutrino de-

cay to achieve leptonic CP asymmetry is the following: The singlet neutrino of mass $\sim 10^5$ GeV which decays to $l\phi$ though its mixing with RH neutrino of mass $\sim 10^{10}$ GeV has a small mixing angle $\sim 10^{-5}$. This small mixing ensures out-of-equilibrium condition by making the decay rate smaller than the Hubble expansion rate in arriving at CP asymmetry at lower temperatures ~ 300 GeV.

8 SINGLET FERMION ASSISTED LEPTOGENESIS IN NON-SUSY SO(10)

An extensive review of thermal leptogenesis with reference to LFV is available in [289]. With the neutrino mass following a modified type-I seesaw at a scale $\geq 10^8$ GeV, thermal leptogenesis has been investigated in ref. It is well known that TeV scale RH neutrinos can participate in resonant leptogenesis contributing to enhanced generation of leptonic CP-asymmetry that is central to generation of baryon asymmetry of the universe via sphaleron interactions. Here we briefly discuss a recent work where quasi-degenerate sterile neutrinos at the TeV scale in non-SUSY SO(10) have been shown to achieve resonant leptogenesis through their decays. The Feynman diagrams at the tree level and with vertex and self energy corrections are shown in Fig.9.



Figure 9: Tree and one-loop diagrams for the S_k decay contributing to the CP-asymmetry. All fermion-Higgs couplings in the diagrams are of the form Vh where $h = N-l-\Phi$ Yukawa coupling and $V \simeq M/M_N$.

The fermion-Higgs coupling in all the diagrams is Vh instead of the standard Higgs-Yukawa coupling $h = M_D/V_{\rm wk}$ where $\mathcal{V} \simeq M/M_N$, M_D is given in eq.(29), and $V_{\rm wk} \simeq 174$ GeV. Denoting the mass eigen value of a sterile

neutrino by $\hat{m}_{S_k}(k = 1, 2, 3)$, for computation of baryon asymmetry Y_B of the Universe with a washout factor K_k, we utilise the ansatz [188]

$$\begin{aligned} Y_B &\simeq \frac{\varepsilon_{S_k}}{200 K_k}, \\ K_k &= \frac{\Gamma_{S_k}}{H(\hat{m}_{S_k})}, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$H(\hat{m}_{S_k})$ being the Hubble parameter at temperature $\hat{m}_{S_k}$. Defining

$$\delta_i = \frac{|\hat{m}_{S_i} - \hat{m}_{S_j}|}{\Gamma_{S_i}} (i \neq j), \tag{37}$$

the depleted washout factor is [290]

$$K_i^{\rm eff} \simeq \delta_i^2 K_i. \tag{38}$$

Here we discuss two cases for the sterile neutrino contribution towards leptogenesis and baryon asymmetry: (a) $\hat{m}_{s_1}$ is light, $\hat{m}_{s_2}$ and $\hat{m}_{s_3}$ are quasi-degenerate; (b) $\hat{m}_{s_2}$ is light, $\hat{m}_{s_1}$ and $\hat{m}_{s_3}$ are quasi-degenerate.

Case (a). $\hat{m}_{s_1}$ light, $\hat{m}_{s_2}$ and $\hat{m}_{s_3}$ heavy and quasi-degenerate.

Using an allowed interesting region of the parameter space $M \simeq$ diag.$(146, 3500, 3500)$ GeV, $V_R = 10^4$ GeV, and $M_N = f V_R$ we get

$$\hat{m}_{S_i} = \text{diag.}(1.0, 595.864.., 595.864..)\text{GeV}. \tag{39}$$

leading to $K_2 = 2.7 \times 10^7$. Using $(\hat{m}_{S_2} - \hat{m}_{S_3}) \simeq 2 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{S_2} &= 0.824, \\ Y_B &= 1.5 \times 10^{-10}. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Case (b) $\hat{m}_{s_2}$ light, $\hat{m}_{s_1}$ and $\hat{m}_{s_3}$ heavy and quasi-degenerate.

Choosing another allowed region of the parameter space $M \simeq$ diag.$(3200, 146, 3200)$ GeV, similarly we get

$$\hat{m}_{S_i} = \text{diag.}(500.567.., 1.0, 500.567..)\text{GeV}, \tag{41}$$

leading to $K_1 = 4 \times 10^6$. using $(\hat{m}_{S_1} - \hat{m}_{S_3}) \simeq 7 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{S_1} &= 0.682, \\ Y_B &= 4 \times 10^{-10}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

m_{s_1} (GeV)	m_{s_2} (GeV)	m_{s_3} (GeV)	Baryon asymmetry	$T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ $(10^{25} yrs.)$
1	500	500	3.73×10^{-10}	2.72
10	500	500	3.5×10^{-10}	16.01
500	1	500	4.2×10^{-10}	0.0494
500	3	500	4.1×10^{-10}	2.19

Table 4: Predictions for baryon asymmetry and double-beta decay half-life as a function of sterile neutrino masses.

In Case (a) with $\hat{m}_{S_1} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV , the lightest sterile neutrino acts as the most dominant source of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay whereas the heavy quasi-degenerate pair of sterile neutrinos S_2 and S_3 mediate resonant leptogenesis. Similarly in the alternative scenario of Case (b) with $\hat{m}_{S_2} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV, the second generation light sterile neutrino acts as the mediator of dominant double beta decay while the heavy quasi-degenerate pair of the first and the third generation sterile neutrinos mediate resonant leptogenesis. Because of the resonant leptogenesis constraint, we note that either Case (a) or Case (b) is permitted, but not both.

Our predictions for the double beta decay half-life and the baryon asymmetry in Case (a) and Case (b) are presented in Table .4. It is clear that for smaller mass eigen values of sterile neutrinos in Case (a) or Case (b), it is possible to saturate current experimental limit on the double-beta decay half-life while explaining the right order of magnitude of the baryon asymmetry. Thus, in addition to the Case (a) found in ref.[181], we have shown another possible alternative scenario as Case (b).

Before concluding this section certain interesting results on thermal leptogenesis derived earlier are noted. Thermal leptogenesis with a hybrid seesaw and RH neutrino dark matter have been proposed by introducung additional $U(1)$ gauge symmetry [198]. Thermal leptogenesis in extended seesaw models have been investigated earlier [291, 292, 293] which are different from our cases reported here and earlier [181, 182]. Possibilities of falsyfying high-scale leptogenesis on the basis of certain LHC results and also on the basis of LFV and $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay results have been suggested [294] . Prospects of dark matter in the minimal inverse seesaw model has been also investigated in ref.[295]

9 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Reviewing the contributions already made, we have discussed how the added presence of singlet fermions which manifest as singlet neutrinos of Majorana type, effectively cancels out the would-be dominant type-I seesaw contribution to light neutrino masses. The neutrino masses consistent with the oscillation data are now governed by the classic inverse seesaw formula (renamed as extended seesaw formula), or type-II seesaw, or even linear or double seesaw under their respective limiting conditions. But the dominant part of the singlet neutrino mass is given by the type-I seesaw mechanism where the role of the Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D is replaced by the $N-S$ mixing matrix M. The cancellation mechanism of type-I seesaw term for the active light neutrino masses is universal in the sense that appropriate extensions of SM, left-right gauge theories, Pati-Salam Model, and SO(10), SUSY or non-SUSY can accommodate it leading to the dominance of seesaw mechanism of another type. In the cases of extended seesaw, linear seesaw, or type-II seesaw dominance, the double beta decay rates in the $W_L - W_L$ channel are dominated by the exchange of light sterile neutrinos with masses in the range of $\mathcal{O}(1-10)$ GeV. With type-II dominance, the second and third generation sterile neutrinos could be heavy and quasi-degenerate, and explain baryon asymmetry of the universe through resonant leptogenesis. The models also predict a rich variety of results for LFV decays and leptonic non-unitarity effects. In SUSY GUTs in the absence of added fermion singlets baryogenesis via leptogenesis through decays of heavy RH neutrinos is usually affected by gravitino problem. A possible solution to this is the well known TeV scale resonant leptogenesis which requires extremely small mass difference between the heavy quasi-degenerate pair leading to their unity mass ratio upto very high degree of accuracy. The presence of sterile neutrinos considerably alleviates this problem by changing this fine tuned mass ratio to a value as large as ~ 10. In SUSY SO(10) leptogenesis under gravitino constraint is achieved even for large hierarchical masses of RH neutrinos. When the model is extended by fermion singlets, the singlet neutrinos which mix with RH neutrinos also acquire hierarchical masses below 10^6 GeV. The hierarchical singlet neutrinos decay through their mixings with RH neutrinos to generate the desired leptonic CP-asymmetry. In these models also the light neutrino mass formula is due to the extended seesaw (or inverse seesaw). One simple reason for the success of the sterile neutrino assisted leptogenesis in SUSY SO(10) is the smallness of mixing angle ξ between the lighter sterile

neutrino and the heavy RH neutrino with $\sin\xi \sim M/M_N \sim 10^{-5} \to 10^{-6}$. This reduces the decay rate of the sterile neutrino considerably to satisfy the out-of-equilibrium condition which forms an important ingredient for the generation of CP-asymmetry.

In the presence of sterile neutrinos, type-II seesaw dominance is achieved with $U(1)_{B=L}$ breaking scale much lower than the mass of the Higgs triplet $\Delta_L(3,1,-2,1)$. This mechanism makes it possible to have type-II seesaw formula even with TeV scale of W_R or Z_R boson whereas in the conventional attempts in SUSY or non-SUSY SO(10), or split-SUSY models, the type-II seesaw dominance required these W_R, Z_R boson masses to be near the GUT-Planck scale. In the standard lore in the proposed models of type-II seesaw dominance with very large W_R and RH neutrino masses, the non-standard contribution to double beta decay in the $W_L - W_L$ channel, damped by the heavy $\Delta_L(3,1,-2,1)$ boson propagator, is negligible. But this concept is overthrown when type-II seesaw dominance is assisted by sterile neutrinos. In the new scheme even though the heavy $\Delta_L(3,1,-2,1)$ boson exchange contribution is negligible, the double beta decay mediation by the exchange of light sterile neutrinos in the $W_L - W_L$ channel predicts dominant decay rates saturating the current experimental limits. This new model of type-II seesaw dominance predicts resonant leptogenesis in the non-SUSY SO(10) originating from the near TeV scale masses of quasi-degenerate sterile neutrinos of the other two generations.

While GUTs like SUSY SO(10) have a rich structure of dark matter candidates, even in the presence of sterile neutrinos, some aspects of embedding dark matter and their detection possibilities in the SM extensions have been discussed in refs.[222, 223, 224]. The SUSY and non-SUSY SO(10) GUTs considered under these seesaw mechanisms satisfy coupling unification and proton life time constraints, the latter being accessible to ongoing search experiments [178, 179, 181, 226, 229, 230].

In this review we have considered the class of models where heavy RH Majorana mass terms are present satisfying the conditions $M_N > M >> M_D, \mu_S$ under which the generalised form of the neutral fermion matrix gives different seesaw formulas. In these models the type-I seesaw, if not cancelled by using the decoupling criteria and two-step block diagonalization process, would have given dominant contributions. A common feature of all these models are dominant double beta decay in the $W_L - W_L$ channel mediated by light sterile neutrinos as well as leptogenesis generated by heavier sterile

neutrinos of the other two generations.

The singlet neutrinos needed for these models are found to have mass ranges between few GeV to $\sim$ 1 TeV. They are the mixed states of added fermion singlets S_i and heavy RH neutrinos N_i where the latter are in the spinorial representation of SO(10). The mass terms of fermion singlets violate the global lepton number symmetry of the SM. As such these masses are required to be as light as possible according to 'tHooft's naturalness criteria [217]. In other words the global lepton number symmetry protects these masses naturally and prevents them from becoming superheavy. This is a special advantage in favour of TeV scale seesaw mechanisms as well as the new type-II intermedate -scale seesaw mechanism [181] due to the cancellation of the type-I seesaw. Further we have brought down the $U(1)_R \times U(1)$ breaking scale in non-SUSY SO(10) to be accessible to LHC and future accelerator searches by a number of new physical processes including the Z' boson. The predicted proton lifetime has been noted to be accessible to ongoing searches [296].

There are a number of interesting models assisted by TeV scale pseudo Dirac neutrinos [178, 218, 219, 220, 221, 251] where such heavy RH Majorana neutrino masses are either absent or, if present, they do not satisfy the decoupling criteria. Details of phenomenology and predictions of such models are beyond the scope of the present review. Likewise the interesting possibilitis of detection of gauge singlet neutrinos through their displaced vertices [182, 184, 185] and the renormalization group impacts [297] in the presence of inverse seesaw have been excluded from present discussions.

10 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to Professor R. N. Mohapatra and DR. Mainak Chakraborty for discussions. M. K. P. acknowledges research grant No.SB/S2/HEP-011/2013 from the Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India. B. P. N. acknowledges a junior research fellowship from the Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, Bhubaneswar.

11 DECLARATION

While submitting this manuscript for publication, the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest with any individual or organisation, private or government, whatsoever.

References

[1] For some earlier reviews see R. N. Mohapatra, A. Yu. Smirnov, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **56** (2006) 569, arXiv:hep-ph/0603118; R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Today **63NA** (2010) 68-69; G. Senjanovic, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **34** (2011) 1-68; W. Grimus, Phys. Part. Nucl. **42** (2011) 566-576, arXiv:1101.0137[hep-ph].

[2] For more recent reviews see G. Altarelli, "*Neutrinos Today: An Introduction*", in Proceedings: *49th Recontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactons and Unified Theories*, Thuile, Italy, March 15-22, (2014);
A. Yu. Smirnov," *Theories of Neutrino Masses and Mixings*", Nuovo Cim. **C 037** (2014) no.3, 29-37;
G. Senjanovic," *Origin of Neutrino Mass*", Pos PLANCK2015 (2016) 141; R. N. Mohapatra, "*From Old Symmetries to New Symmetries: Quarks, Leptons, and B-L*", in "50 Years of Quarks" pp 245-263 (World Scientific, 2015); R. N. Mohapatra," *Neutrino Mass as a Signal for TeV Scale Physics*", Nucl. Phys. **B 908** (2016) 423-435; J. W. F. Valle," *Status and Implications of Neutrino Mass: A Brief Panorama*", Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 30** (2015) **no.3**, 1530034; O. G. Miranda, J. W. F. Valle, "*Neutrino Oscillation and Seesaw Origin of Neutrino Masses*",Nucl. Phys. **B 908** 2016 436-455.

[3] R. N. Mohapatra, Nucl. Phys. **B 908** (2016) 423-435.

[4] O. G. Miranda, J. W. F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. **B 908** 2016 436-455.

[5] P. Minkowski, *Phys. Lett.* **B 67**, 421 (1977); T. Yanagida in *Workshop on Unified Theories, KEK Report 79-18*, p. 95, 1979; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ra-

mond and R. Slansky, *Supergravity*, p. 315. Amsterdam: North Holland, 1979; S. L. Glashow, *1979 Cargese Summer Institute on Quarks and Leptons*, p. 687, New York: Plenum, 1980;

R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980); J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D 22**, 2227 (1980).

[6] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, ref. [5].

[7] M. Magg, C. Wetterich, "*Neutrino mass problem and gauge hierarchy*", Phys. Lett. **B 94**, 61 (1980); T. P. Cheng, L. F. Li,"*Neutrino masses, mixings and oscillations in SU(2) x U(1) models of electroweak interactions*", Phys. Rev. **D 22** (1980) 2860. G. Lazaridis, Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, "*Proton lifetime and fermion masses in SO(10)*", Nucl. Phys. **B 181**, 287 (1981).

[8] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. **D 23**, 165 (1981).

[9] J. Schecter, J. W. F. Valle,"Neutrinoless double beta decay in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ theories", Phys. Rev. **D 25** (1982) 2951.

[10] J. Schecter, J. W. F. Valle,"Neutrino decay and spontaneous violation of lepton number", Phys. Rev. **D 25** (1982) 774.

[11] R.N. Mohapatra, J. W. F. Valle,"*Neutrino mass and baryon number nonconservation in superstring models*", Phys. Rev. **D 34** , 1642 (1986).

[12] R. N. Mohapatra, "*Mechanism of understanding small neutrino masses in superstring theories*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 561 (1986); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Status of neutrino masses in supersymmetric and superstring theories*", Presented at conference **C 86-06-11** (Osaka Beta Symposium 1986:0042); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Small neutrino masses in gauge theories*", Published in "*HEIDELBERG 1987, PROCEEDINGS NEUTRINO PHYSICS 27-43 (1987)*".

[13] C. H. Albright, "*search for solutions of superstring neutrino mass problem*", Phys. Lett. **B 178** (1986) 219.

[14] E. Witten, "*New issues in manifolds with SU(3) holonomy*", Nucl. Phys. **B 268** (1986) 79.

[15] S. Nandi, U. Sarkar, "*A solution to neutrino mass problem in superstring E_6 theory*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 564.

[16] J. W. F. Valle, "*Gauge theories and the physics of neutrino mass*", Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1991) 91-171.

[17] D. Wyler, L. Wolfenstein,"*Massless neutrinos in left-right symmetric models*", Nucl. Phys. **B 218** (1983) 205.

[18] J. Bernabeau, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez, J. W. F. Valle, "*Lepton flavor nonconservation at high energies in a superstring inspired standard model*", Phys. Lett. **B 187** (1987) 303.

[19] E. Ma,"*Lepton number nonconservation in E(6) inspired superstring models*", Phys. Lett. **B 191** (1987) 287.

[20] R. Foot, H. Lew, X. G. He, G. C. Joshi, Z. Phys. **C 44**, 441 (1989).

[21] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0011**, 042 (2000).

[22] K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B 566** (2000) 33-91; arXiv:hep-ph/9812538 ; K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, Z. Tavartkiladze, JHEP **1006** (2010) 084; arXiv:1003.2625 [hep-ph]..

[23] S. M. Barr,"*A different seesaw formula for neutrino masses*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 101601, hep-ph/0309152; S. M. Barr, B. Kyae, "A general analysis of corrections to standard seesaw formulas in grand unified models", Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 075005, arXiv:hep-ph/0407154.

[24] C. H. Albright, S. M. Barr, "*Leptogenesis in type III seesa mechanism*", Phys. Rev.**D 70** (2004) 033013, arXiv:hep-ph/0404095.

[25] M. Malisky, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle,"*Novel supersymmetric so(10) seesaw mechanism*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 161801, arXiv:hep-ph/0506296.

[26] M. Lindner, M. A. Schmidt, A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP **0507** (2005) 048, hep-ph/0505067.

[27] T. Fukuyama, T. Kikuchi, T. Osaka, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0703** (2007) 018.

[28] S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. **B 646** (2007) 248, arXiv:hep-ph/0607072. See also J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B300**, 121 (1993).

[29] S. K. Majee, M. K. Parida, and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Lett. **668**, (2008) 299.

[30] M. Mitra, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. **B 856**, 26 (2012).

[31] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **D 8**, 1240 (1973); ibid. Phys. Rev.**D 10**, 275 (1974).

[32] R. N. Mohapatra, J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. **D 11**, 566, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 12**, 1502 (1975).

[33] H. Georgi, In *Coral Gables 1975, Proceedings, Theories and Experiments In High Energy Physics*, New York 1975, 329-339 H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals Phys. **93**, 193 (1975).

[34] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*Decoupling parity and left-right symmetry breaking scales: A new approach to left-right symmetric models*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1072 (1984).

[35] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*A new approach to left-right symmetriy breaking in unified gauge theories*", Phys. Rev. **D 30**, 1052 (1984).

[36] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra, J. Gipson, R. E. Marshak, M. K. Parida, "*Experimental tests of new SO(10) grand unification*", Phys. Rev. **D 31** 1718 (1985).

[37] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. **D 47** (1993) 264.

[38] D. -G. Lee, R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, M. Rani, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) 229, hep-ph/9404238. .

[39] M. K. Parida, "*Intermediate left-right gauge symmetry, unification of couplings and fermion masses in SUSY SO(10)XS(4)*", Phys. Rev. **D 78** (2008) 053004, arXiv:0804.4571[hep-ph].

[40] M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 085020; Erratum: Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 029903; M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 015902; T. Hambye, arXiv:1012.4587; M. Frigerio, T. Hambye, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 075002; Y. Mambrini, M. Nagata, K. Olive, J. Quevillon, J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 91** (2015) **no.9**, 09500; C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad, M. A. Schmidt, arXiv: 1605.03986.

[41] M. K. Parida, K. Bora, P. K. Sahu, "*Flavor unification, dark matter, proton decay and other observable predictions with low-scale S(4) symmetry*", Phys. Rev. **D 83** (2011) 093004, arXiv:1011.4577; M. K. Parida," *Radiative seesaw in SO(10) with dark matter*", Phys. Lett. **B 704** (2011) 206-210, arXiv:1106.4137; M. K. Parida," *Radiative seesaw formula in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) with dark matter*", Pramana **79** (2012) 1271-1274.

[42] X. Chu, A. Yu Smirnov, JHEP **1605** (2016) 135; arXiv:1604.03977.

[43] R.N. Mohapatra, J. W. F. Valle,"*Neutrino mass and baryon number nonconservation in superstring models*", Phys. Rev. **D 34** , 1642 (1986).

[44] R. N. Mohapatra, "*Mechanism of understanding small neutrino masses in superstring theories*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 561 (1986); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Status of neutrino masses in supersymmetric and superstring theories*", Presented at conference **C 86-06-11** (Osaka Beta Symposium 1986:0042); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Small neutrino masses in gauge theories*", Published in "*HEIDELBERG 1987, PROCEEDINGS NEUTRINO PHYSICS 27-43 (1987)*".

[45] C. H. Albright, "*search for solutions of superstring neutrino mass problem*", Phys. Lett. **B 178** (1986) 219.

[46] E. Witten, "*New issues in manifolds with SU(3) holonomy*", Nucl. Phys. **B 268** (1986) 79.

[47] S. Nandi, U. Sarkar, "*A solution to neutrino mass problem in superstring E_6 theory*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 564.

[48] J. W. F. Valle, "*Gauge theories and the physics of neutrino mass*", Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1991) 91-171.

[49] D. Wyler, L. Wolfenstein,"*Massless neutrinos in left-right symmetric models*", Nucl. Phys. **B 218** (1983) 205.

[50] J. Bernabeau, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez, J. W. F. Valle, "*Lepton flavor nonconservation at high energies in a superstring inspired standard model*", Phys. Lett. **B 187** (1987) 303.

[51] E. Ma,"*Lepton number nonconservation in E(6) inspired superstring models*", Phys. Lett. **B 191** (1987) 287.

[52] R. Foot, H. Lew, X. G. He, G. C. Joshi, Z. Phys. **C 44**, 441 (1989).

[53] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0011**, 042 (2000).

[54] K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B 566** (2000) 33-91; arXiv:hep-ph/9812538 ; K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, Z. Tavartkiladze, JHEP **1006** (2010) 084; arXiv:1003.2625 [hep-ph]..

[55] S. M. Barr,"*A different seesaw formula for neutrino masses*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 101601, hep-ph/0309152; S. M. Barr, B. Kyae, "A general analysis of corrections to standard seesaw formulas in grand unified models", Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 075005, arXiv:hep-ph/0407154.

[56] C. H. Albright, S. M. Barr, "*Leptogenesis in type III seesa mechanism*", Phys. Rev.**D 70** (2004) 033013, arXiv:hep-ph/0404095.

[57] M. Malisky, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle,"*Novel supersymmetric so(10) seesaw mechanism*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 161801, arXiv:hep-ph/0506296.

[58] M. Lindner, M. A. Schmidt, A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP **0507** (2005) 048, hep-ph/0505067.

[59] T. Fukuyama, T. Kikuchi, T. Osaka, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0703** (2007) 018.

[60] S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. **B 646** (2007) 248, arXiv:hep-ph/0607072. See also J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B300**, 121 (1993).

[61] S. K. Majee, M. K. Parida, and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Lett. **668**, (2008) 299.

[62] M. Mitra, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. **B 856**, 26 (2012).

[63] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **D 8**, 1240 (1973); ibid. Phys. Rev.**D 10**, 275 (1974).

[64] R. N. Mohapatra, J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. **D 11**, 566, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 12**, 1502 (1975).

[65] H. Georgi, In *Coral Gables 1975, Proceedings, Theories and Experiments In High Energy Physics*, New York 1975, 329-339 H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals Phys. **93**, 193 (1975).

[66] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*Decoupling parity and left-right symmetry breaking scales: A new approach to left-right symmetric models*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1072 (1984).

[67] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*A new approach to left-right symmetriy breaking in unified gauge theories*", Phys. Rev. **D 30**, 1052 (1984).

[68] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra, J. Gipson, R. E. Marshak, M. K. Parida, "*Experimental tests of new SO(10) grand unification*", Phys. Rev. **D 31** 1718 (1985).

[69] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. **D 47** (1993) 264.

[70] D. -G. Lee, R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, M. Rani, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) 229, hep-ph/9404238. .

[71] M. K. Parida, "*Intermediate left-right gauge symmetry, unification of couplings and fermion masses in SUSY SO(10)XS(4)*", Phys. Rev. **D 78** (2008) 053004, arXiv:0804.4571[hep-ph].

[72] M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 085020; Erratum: Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 029903; M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 015902; T. Hambye, arXiv:1012.4587; M. Frigerio, T. Hambye, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 075002; Y. Mambrini, M. Nagata, K. Olive, J. Quevillon, J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 91** (2015) **no.9**, 09500; C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad, M. A. Schmidt, arXiv: 1605.03986.

[73] M. K. Parida, K. Bora, P. K. Sahu, "*Flavor unification, dark matter, proton decay and other observable predictions with low-scale S(4) symmetry*", Phys. Rev. **D 83** (2011) 093004, arXiv:1011.4577; M. K. Parida," *Radiative seesaw in SO(10) with dark matter*", Phys. Lett. **B 704** (2011) 206-210, arXiv:1106.4137; M. K. Parida," *Radiative seesaw formula in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) with dark matter*", Pramana **79** (2012) 1271-1274.

[74] X. Chu, A. Yu Smirnov, JHEP **1605** (2016) 135; arXiv:1604.03977.

[75] R.N. Mohapatra, J. W. F. Valle," *Neutrino mass and baryon number nonconservation in superstring models*", Phys. Rev. **D 34** , 1642 (1986).

[76] R. N. Mohapatra, "*Mechanism of understanding small neutrino masses in superstring theories*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 561 (1986); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Status of neutrino masses in supersymmetric and superstring theories*", Presented at conference **C 86-06-11** (Osaka Beta Symposium 1986:0042); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Small neutrino masses in gauge theories*", Published in "*HEIDELBERG 1987, PROCEEDINGS NEUTRINO PHYSICS 27-43 (1987)*".

[77] C. H. Albright, "*search for solutions of superstring neutrino mass problem*", Phys. Lett. **B 178** (1986) 219.

[78] E. Witten, "*New issues in manifolds with SU(3) holonomy*", Nucl. Phys. **B 268** (1986) 79.

[79] S. Nandi, U. Sarkar, "*A solution to neutrino mass problem in superstring E_6 theory*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 564.

[80] J. W. F. Valle, "*Gauge theories and the physics of neutrino mass*", Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1991) 91-171.

[81] D. Wyler, L. Wolfenstein," *Massless neutrinos in left-right symmetric models*", Nucl. Phys. **B 218** (1983) 205.

[82] J. Bernabeau, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez, J. W. F. Valle, "*Lepton flavor nonconservation at high energies in a superstring inspired standard model*", Phys. Lett. **B 187** (1987) 303.

[83] E. Ma," *Lepton number nonconservation in E(6) inspired superstring models*", Phys. Lett. **B 191** (1987) 287.

[84] R. Foot, H. Lew, X. G. He, G. C. Joshi, Z. Phys. **C 44**, 441 (1989).

[85] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0011**, 042 (2000).

[86] K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B 566** (2000) 33-91; arXiv:hep-ph/9812538 ; K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, Z. Tavartkiladze, JHEP **1006** (2010) 084; arXiv:1003.2625 [hep-ph]..

[87] S. M. Barr,"*A different seesaw formula for neutrino masses*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 101601, hep-ph/0309152; S. M. Barr, B. Kyae, "A general analysis of corrections to standard seesaw formulas in grand unified models", Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 075005, arXiv:hep-ph/0407154.

[88] C. H. Albright, S. M. Barr, "*Leptogenesis in type III seesa mechanism*", Phys. Rev.**D 70** (2004) 033013, arXiv:hep-ph/0404095.

[89] M. Malisky, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle,"*Novel supersymmetric so(10) seesaw mechanism*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 161801, arXiv:hep-ph/0506296.

[90] M. Lindner, M. A. Schmidt, A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP **0507** (2005) 048, hep-ph/0505067.

[91] T. Fukuyama, T. Kikuchi, T. Osaka, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0703** (2007) 018.

[92] S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. **B 646** (2007) 248, arXiv:hep-ph/0607072. See also J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B300**, 121 (1993).

[93] S. K. Majee, M. K. Parida, and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Lett. **668**, (2008) 299.

[94] M. Mitra, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. **B 856**, 26 (2012).

[95] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **D 8**, 1240 (1973); ibid. Phys. Rev.**D 10**, 275 (1974).

[96] R. N. Mohapatra, J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. **D 11**, 566, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 12**, 1502 (1975).

[97] H. Georgi, In *Coral Gables 1975, Proceedings, Theories and Experiments In High Energy Physics*, New York 1975, 329-339 H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals Phys. **93**, 193 (1975).

[98] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*Decoupling parity and left-right symmetry breaking scales: A new approach to left-right symmetric models*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1072 (1984).

[99] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*A new approach to left-right symmetriy breaking in unified gauge theories*", Phys. Rev. **D 30**, 1052 (1984).

[100] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra, J. Gipson, R. E. Marshak, M. K. Parida, "*Experimental tests of new SO(10) grand unification*", Phys. Rev. **D 31** 1718 (1985).

[101] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. **D 47** (1993) 264.

[102] D. -G. Lee, R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, M. Rani, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) 229, hep-ph/9404238. .

[103] M. K. Parida, "*Intermediate left-right gauge symmetry, unification of couplings and fermion masses in SUSY SO(10)XS(4)*", Phys. Rev. **D 78** (2008) 053004, arXiv:0804.4571[hep-ph].

[104] M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 085020; Erratum: Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 029903; M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 015902; T. Hambye, arXiv:1012.4587; M. Frigerio, T. Hambye, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 075002; Y. Mambrini, M. Nagata, K. Olive, J. Quevillon, J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 91** (2015) **no.9**, 09500; C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad, M. A. Schmidt, arXiv: 1605.03986.

[105] M. K. Parida, K. Bora, P. K. Sahu, "*Flavor unification, dark matter, proton decay and other observable predictions with low-scale S(4) symmetry*", Phys. Rev. **D 83** (2011) 093004, arXiv:1011.4577; M. K. Parida,"*Radiative seesaw in SO(10) with dark matter*", Phys. Lett. **B 704** (2011) 206-210, arXiv:1106.4137; M. K. Parida,"*Radiative seesaw formula in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) with dark matter*", Pramana **79** (2012) 1271-1274.

[106] X. Chu, A. Yu Smirnov, JHEP **1605** (2016) 135; arXiv:1604.03977.

[107] R.N. Mohapatra, J. W. F. Valle,"*Neutrino mass and baryon number nonconservation in superstring models*", Phys. Rev. **D 34** , 1642 (1986).

[108] R. N. Mohapatra, "*Mechanism of understanding small neutrino masses in superstring theories*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 561 (1986); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Status of neutrino masses in supersymmetric and superstring theories*", Presented at conference **C 86-06-11** (Osaka Beta Symposium 1986:0042); R. N. Mohapatra, "*Small neutrino masses in gauge theories*", Published in "*HEIDELBERG 1987, PROCEEDINGS NEUTRINO PHYSICS 27-43 (1987)*".

[109] C. H. Albright, "*search for solutions of superstring neutrino mass problem*", Phys. Lett. **B 178** (1986) 219.

[110] E. Witten, "*New issues in manifolds with SU(3) holonomy*", Nucl. Phys. **B 268** (1986) 79.

[111] S. Nandi, U. Sarkar, "*A solution to neutrino mass problem in superstring E_6 theory*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 564.

[112] J. W. F. Valle, "*Gauge theories and the physics of neutrino mass*", Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1991) 91-171.

[113] D. Wyler, L. Wolfenstein,"*Massless neutrinos in left-right symmetric models*", Nucl. Phys. **B 218** (1983) 205.

[114] J. Bernabeau, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez, J. W. F. Valle, "*Lepton flavor nonconservation at high energies in a superstring inspired standard model*", Phys. Lett. **B 187** (1987) 303.

[115] E. Ma,"*Lepton number nonconservation in E(6) inspired superstring models*", Phys. Lett. **B 191** (1987) 287.

[116] R. Foot, H. Lew, X. G. He, G. C. Joshi, Z. Phys. **C 44**, 441 (1989).

[117] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0011**, 042 (2000).

[118] K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B 566** (2000) 33-91; arXiv:hep-ph/9812538 ; K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati, Z. Tavartkiladze, JHEP **1006** (2010) 084; arXiv:1003.2625 [hep-ph]..

[119] S. M. Barr,"*A different seesaw formula for neutrino masses*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 101601, hep-ph/0309152; S. M. Barr, B. Kyae, "A general analysis of corrections to standard seesaw formulas in grand unified models", Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 075005, arXiv:hep-ph/0407154.

[120] C. H. Albright, S. M. Barr, "*Leptogenesis in type III seesa mechanism*", Phys. Rev.**D 70** (2004) 033013, arXiv:hep-ph/0404095.

[121] M. Malisky, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle,"*Novel supersymmetric so(10) seesaw mechanism*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 161801, arXiv:hep-ph/0506296.

[122] M. Lindner, M. A. Schmidt, A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP **0507** (2005) 048, hep-ph/0505067.

[123] T. Fukuyama, T. Kikuchi, T. Osaka, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0703** (2007) 018.

[124] S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. **B 646** (2007) 248, arXiv:hep-ph/0607072. See also J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B300**, 121 (1993).

[125] S. K. Majee, M. K. Parida, and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Lett. **668**, (2008) 299.

[126] M. Mitra, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. **B 856**, 26 (2012).

[127] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **D 8**, 1240 (1973); ibid. Phys. Rev.**D 10**, 275 (1974).

[128] R. N. Mohapatra, J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. **D 11**, 566, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 12**, 1502 (1975).

[129] H. Georgi, In *Coral Gables 1975, Proceedings, Theories and Experiments In High Energy Physics*, New York 1975, 329-339 H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals Phys. **93**, 193 (1975).

[130] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*Decoupling parity and left-right symmetry breaking scales: A new approach to left-right symmetric models*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1072 (1984).

[131] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*A new approach to left-right symmetriy breaking in unified gauge theories*", Phys. Rev. **D 30**, 1052 (1984).

[132] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra, J. Gipson, R. E. Marshak, M. K. Parida, "*Experimental tests of new SO(10) grand unification*", Phys. Rev. **D 31** 1718 (1985).

[133] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. **D 47** (1993) 264.

[134] D. -G. Lee, R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, M. Rani, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) 229, hep-ph/9404238. .

[135] M. K. Parida, "*Intermediate left-right gauge symmetry, unification of couplings and fermion masses in SUSY SO(10)XS(4)*", Phys. Rev. **D 78** (2008) 053004, arXiv:0804.4571[hep-ph].

[136] M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 085020; Erratum: Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 029903; M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 015902; T. Hambye, arXiv:1012.4587; M. Frigerio, T. Hambye, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 075002; Y. Mambrini, M. Nagata, K. Olive, J. Quevillon, J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 91** (2015) **no.9**, 09500; C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad, M. A. Schmidt, arXiv: 1605.03986.

[137] M. K. Parida, K. Bora, P. K. Sahu, "*Flavor unification, dark matter, proton decay and other observable predictions with low-scale S(4) symmetry*", Phys. Rev. **D 83** (2011) 093004, arXiv:1011.4577; M. K. Parida,"*Radiative seesaw in SO(10) with dark matter*", Phys. Lett. **B 704** (2011) 206-210, arXiv:1106.4137; M. K. Parida,"*Radiative seesaw formula in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) with dark matter*", Pramana **79** (2012) 1271-1274.

[138] X. Chu, A. Yu Smirnov, JHEP **1605** (2016) 135; arXiv:1604.03977.

[139] M. Lindner, M. A. Schmidt, A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP **0507** (2005) 048, hep-ph/0505067.

[140] T. Fukuyama, T. Kikuchi, T. Osaka, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0703** (2007) 018.

[141] S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. **B 646** (2007) 248, arXiv:hep-ph/0607072. See also J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B300**, 121 (1993).

[142] S . K. Majee, M. K. Parida, and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Lett. **668**, (2008) 299.

[143] M. Mitra, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. **B 856**, 26 (2012).

[144] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **D 8**, 1240 (1973); ibid. Phys. Rev.**D 10**, 275 (1974).

[145] R. N. Mohapatra, J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. **D 11**, 566, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 12**, 1502 (1975).

[146] H. Georgi, In *Coral Gables 1975, Proceedings, Theories and Experiments In High Energy Physics*, New York 1975, 329-339 H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals Phys. **93**, 193 (1975).

[147] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*Decoupling parity and left-right symmetry breaking scales: A new approach to left-right symmetric models*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1072 (1984).

[148] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida,"*A new approach to left-right symmetriy breaking in unified gauge theories*", Phys. Rev. **D 30**, 1052 (1984).

[149] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra, J. Gipson, R. E. Marshak, M. K. Parida, "*Experimental tests of new SO(10) grand unification*", Phys. Rev. **D 31** 1718 (1985).

[150] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. **D 47** (1993) 264.

[151] D. -G. Lee, R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, M. Rani, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) 229, hep-ph/9404238. .

[152] M. K. Parida, "*Intermediate left-right gauge symmetry, unification of couplings and fermion masses in SUSY SO(10)XS(4)*", Phys. Rev. **D 78** (2008) 053004, arXiv:0804.4571[hep-ph].

[153] M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 085020; Erratum: Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 029903; M. Kadastic, K. Kanike, M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 015902; T. Hambye, arXiv:1012.4587; M. Frigerio, T. Hambye, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 075002; Y. Mambrini, M. Nagata, K. Olive, J. Quevillon, J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 91** (2015) **no.9**, 09500; C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad, M. A. Schmidt, arXiv: 1605.03986.

[154] M. K. Parida, K. Bora, P. K. Howl, "*Flavor unification, dark matter, proton decay and other observable predictions with low-scale S(4) symmetry*", Phys. Rev. **D 83** (2011) 093004, arXiv:1011.4577; M. K. Parida,"*Radiative seesaw in SO(10) with dark matter*", Phys. Lett. **B 704** (2011) 206-210, arXiv:1106.4137; M. K. Parida,"*Radiative seesaw formula in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) with dark matter*", Pramana **79** (2012) 1271-1274.

[155] X. Chu, A. Yu Smirnov, JHEP **1605** (2016) 135; arXiv:1604.03977.

[156] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Palazzo, A. M. Rotunno, arXiv:1205.5254[hep-ph]; T. Scwetz, M. Tartola, J. W. F. Valle, New, J. Phys. **13** (2011) 963004; D. V. Forero, M. Tartola, J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D 90** (2014) no.9, 093006, arXiv:1405.7549 [hep-ph]; M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. **B 908** (2016) 199, arXiv:1512.06856[hep-ph].

[157] A. Aranda, C. Bonilla, S. Morisi, E. Peinado, J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D 89** (2014) 033001, arXiv:1307.3553;J. W. F. Valle, C. A. Vaquera Araujo, Phys. Lett. **B 755** (2016) 363, arXiv:1601.05237; C. Bonilla, J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:1605.08362.

[158] J. Gluza, T. Jelinski, R. Szafron, arXiv:1604.01388[hep-ph]

[159] H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, Eur.Phys.J.A12:147-154,2001;

[160] C. Arnaboldi et al. [CUORICINO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. **C 78**, 035502 (2008); C. E. Aalseth *et al.* (IGEX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **D 65**, 092007 (2002).

[161] J. Argyriades *et al.* (NEMO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **C 80**, 032501 (2009) ;I. Abt, M. F. Altmann, A. Bakalyarov, I. Barabanov, C. Bauer, E. Bellotti, S. T. Belyaev,L. B. Bezrukov et al., [hep-ex/0404039].

[162] A. K. Alfonso *et al.* [CUORE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 102502.

[163] J. Adam *et al.* (MEG Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett.**107**, (2011) 171801, arxiv: 1107.5547[hep-ex] K. Hayasaka *et al,* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **B 666** (2008) 16, arxiv:0705.0650 [hep-ex]; U. Bellgardt *et al.*, (SINDRUM Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. **B 299** (1988) 1; W. H. Bertl *et al.*, (SINDRUM II Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J.**C 47** (2006) 337; M. L. Brooks et al. (MEG Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 1521 ; B. Aubert [The BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:0908.2381 [hep-ex]; Y. Kuno (PRIME Working Group), Nucl. Phys. B. Proc. Suppl. **149** (2005) 376. For a review of earlier results see F. R. Joaquim, A. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. **B 765**, (2007) 71.

[164] J. Adam *et al.*,(MEG Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 201801, arXiv:1303.0754; A. M. Baldini *et al.*, (MEG Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C76** (2016) no.8, 434, arXiv:1605.05081.

[165] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 021802, arXiv:0908.2381.

[166] R. H. Bernstein, P. S. Cooper," *Charged lepton flavor violation: An experimenter's guide*", Phys. Rept. **532**, (2013) 27, arXiv:1307.5687.

[167] K. S. Babu, "Neutrino mixing pattern and its implications for charged lepton rare decays and CP violation", in "*Fifth Workshop on Neutrino Oscillations and their Origin (NOON2004)*", Feb.11-15, 2004, Tokyo, Japan.

[168] S. T. Petcov, W. Rodejohann, T. Shindou, Y. Takanishi," *The seesaw mechanism, neutrino Yukawa couplings, LFV decays l(i) → l(j)+gamma and leptogenesis*", Nucl. Phys. **B 739** (2006) 208, hep-ph/0510404.

[169] S. Morisi, J. W. F. Valle, "*Neutrino masses and mixing: a flavor symmetry roadmap*", Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 466-492, arXiv:1206.6678.

[170] F. Deppisch, "Lepton flavor violation and flavor symmetries", Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 622; arXiv:1206.5212[hep-ph].

[171] A. de Gouvea, P. Vogel, "*Lepton Flavor and Number Conservation Beyond the Standard Model*", Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **71** (2013) 75, arXiv:1303.4097[hep-ph].

[172] S. Boucenna, S. Morisi, J. W. V. F. Valle, "*The low scale approach to neutrino masses*", Adv. High Energy Physics, **2014** (2014) 831598, arXiv:1404.3751[hep-ph]

[173] J. Heeck, "*Interpretation of Lepton Flavor Violtion*", arXiv:1610.07623[hep-ph].

[174] Riazuddin, R. E. Marshak, R. N. Mohapatra, "*Majorana neutrinos and low energy tests of electrowek models*", Phys. Rev. **D 24** (1981) 1310-1317.

[175] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.**109** (2012) 261802; CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 74,no.11 (2014) 311[arXiv:1407.3683].

[176] W. Keung , G. Senjanovic , Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1427 (1983).

[177] Chien-Yi Chen, P. S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 88** (2013) 033014.

[178] B. Sahoo, M. K. Parida,"Low mass right-handed gauge bosons from minimal grand unified theories", Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **273-275** (2015) 2672-2644, arXiv:1510.01096.

[179] M. K. Parida, B. Sahoo, "*Planck-scale induced left-right gauge theory at LHC and experimental tests*", Nucl. Phys. **B 906** (2016) 77-104, arXiv:1411.6748[hep-ph].

[180] P.S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) **no.18**, 181893, arXiv:1508.02277.

[181] B. P. Nayak, M. K. Parida,"*New mechanism for Type-II seesaw dominance in SO(10) with low mass Z', RH neutrinos,and verifiable LFV, LNV and proton decay*", Eur. Phys. J. **C 75** (2015) 183. arXiv:1312.3185 .

[182] Bidyut Prava Nayak, M. K. Parida,"*Dilepton events with displaced vertices, double beta decay, and type-II seesaw dominance, TeV scale Z' and heavy neutrinos*", arXiv:1509.06192.

[183] S. N. Gninenko. D. S. Gorbunov, Adv. High Enegy Phys. **2012** (2012) 718259; L. Canetti, M. Drewes, M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013)no.6, 061801, arXiv:1204.3902[hep-ph]; L. Canetti, M. Drewes,

T. Hochsch, T. Frossard, M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev.. **D 87** (2013) 093008,arXiv:1208.4607[hep-ph].

[184] J. C. Helo, M. Hirsch, S. Kovalenko,"*Heavy neutrino searches at LHC with displaced vertices*", Phys. Rev.**D 89**, (2014) 073005, arXiv:1312.2900 [hep-ph].

[185] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato, O. Fischer,"*Displaced vertex searches for sterile neutrinos at future lepton colliders*", arXiv:1604.02420[hep-ph]; Bidyut Prava Nayak, M. K. Parida,"*Dilepton events with displaced vertices, double beta decay, and type-II seesaw dominance, TeV scale Z' and heavy neutrinos*", arXiv:1509.06192 and references therein.

[186] S. Antusch, J. P. Baumann, and E. Fernandez-Martinez, Nucl. Phys. **B 810**, 369 (2009); S. Antusch, M. Blennow, E. Fernandez-Martinez, and J. Lopez-Pavon, Phys. Rev. **D 80**, 033002 (2009); S. Antush, C. Biggio, E. Fernandez-Martinez, M. Belen Gavela, and J. Lopez-Pavon, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2006) 084.

[187] B. Dutta, R. N. Mohapatra, "*Lepton flavor violation and neutrino mixings in 3X2 seesaw model*", Phys. Rev. **D 68** (2003) 056006, hep-ph/0305059.

[188] A. Pilaftsis, T. E. J. Underwood,"*Resonant leptogenesis*", Nucl. Phys. **B 692** (2004) 303, hep-ph/0309342.

[189] J. Kersten, A. Yu. M. Smirnov,"*Right-handed neutrinos at LHC and the mechanism of neutrini mass generation*", Phys. Rev. **D 76** (2007) 073005, arXiv:0705.3522[hep-ph].

[190] C. H. Lee, P.S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, "*Natural TeV scale left-right seesaw mechanism for neutrinos and experimental tests*", Phys. Rev. **D 88** (2013) 093010, arXiv:1309.0774[hep-ph].

[191] Carl H. Albright , S.M. Barr,"*Leptogenesis in type-III seesaw mechanism*", Phys.Rev. D69 (2004) 073010, arXiv:hep-ph/0312224.

[192] C. H. Albright, S. M. Barr, Phys. Lett.**B 452** (1999) 287; C. H. Albright, K. S. Babu, S. M. Barr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **D 81** (1998) 1167.

[193] P -H. Gu, M. Hirsch, U. Sarkar, J. W. F. Valle,"*Neutrino masses.leptogenesis and dark matter in hybrid seesaw*", Phys. Rev. **D 79** (2009) 03310, arXiv:0811.0953.

[194] S. Goswami, S. Khan, A. Watanabe, Phys. Lett. **B 693** (2010) 249, arXiv:0811.4744;

[195] Shao-Long Chen, M. Frigerio, E. Ma, Nucl. Phys. **B 724** (2005) 423, hep-ph/0504181; P. -H. Gu, U. Sarkar, Phys. Lett. **B 694** (2011) 226, arXiv:1007.2323[hep-ph].

[196] M. Hirsch, S. Morisi, J. W. F. Valle, "*A4 based tri-bimaximal mixing within inverse and linear seesaw*", Phy6s. Lett. **B 679** (2009) 454, arXiv:0905.3056[hep-ph].

[197] J. Chakrabortty, A. S. Joshipura, P. Mehta, S. K. Vempati, Conf. Proc. **C0908171** (2010) 493.

[198] F. P. Deppisch, W. -C. Huang, "em Dark matter and leptogenesis in a hybrid neutrino mass model", JHEP **1501** (2015) 066; arXiv:1411.2922[hep-ph].

[199] B. Adhikary, B. Brahmachari, A. Ghosal, E. Ma, M. K. Parida, "*A(4) symmetry and prediction of U(e3) in a modified Altarelli-Feruglio model*", Phys. Lett. **B 638** (2006) 345-349, hep-ph/0603059.

[200] B. Adhikary, M. Chakraborty, A. Ghosal, "*Scaling ansatz, four zero Yukawa textures and large* θ_{13}",Phys. Red. **D 86** (2012) 01305, arXiv:1205.1355[hep-ph].

[201] B. Adhikary, A. Ghosal, P. Roy "θ_{13},μ τ *symmetry breaking,and neutrino Yukawa textures*", Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 28** (2013) **no.24**,1350118.

[202] M. Chakraborty, H. Zeen Devi, A Ghosal "*Scaling ansatz with texture zeros in linear seesaw*", Phys. Lett. **B 741** (2015) 210, arXiv:1410.3276[hep-ph].

[203] A. Ghosal, R. Samanta, "*Probing texture zeros with scaling ansatz in inverse seesaw*", JHEP **1505** (2015) 077, arXiv:1501.00916[hep-ph].

[204] R. Samanta, A. Ghosal, "*Probing maximal zero textures with broken cyclic property in inverse seesaw*", Nucl.Phys. **B 911** (2016) 846, arXiv:1507.02582[hep-ph].

[205] R. Sinha, R. Samanta, A. Ghosal, "*Minimal zero textures in linear and inverse seesaw*", Phys. Lett. **B 759** (2016) 206, arXiv:1508.05227[hep-ph].

[206] S. Pramanick, A. Raychaudhuri, "*A4-based seesaw model for realistic neutrino masses and mixings*", Phys. Rev. **D 93** (2016) **no.3**, 033007, arXiv:1508.02330; S. Pramanick, A. Raychaudhuri,"*A neutrino mass model with S3 symmetry and seesaw interplay*", arXiv:1609.06103.

[207] M. K. Parida, B. P. Nayak, R. Satpathy, R. L. Awasthi, "*Standard coupling unification in SO(10), hybrid seesaw neutrino mass and leptogenesis, dark matter, and proton lifetime predictions*", arXiv:1608.03956[hep-ph].

[208] B. Bajc, G. Senjanovic, and F. Vissani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 051802 (2003).

[209] H. S. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra, S. P. Ng, Phys. Lett. **B 570** (2003) 215; H. S. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra, S. P. Ng, Phys. Rev. **D 68** (2003) 115008, hep-ph/0308197.

[210] G. Altarelli, G. Blankenburg, J. High Energy Phys. 1103, 133 (2011), B. Bajc, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, hep-ph/0110310; S. Bertolini, M. Frigerio, M. Malinsky, Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 095002; P. S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, M. Severson, Phys. Rev. **D 84** (2011), 053005, arxiv:1107.2378.

[211] R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, G. Rajasekaran. Phys. Rev. **D 69** (2004) 053007, arXiv:0301234 [hep-ph]; R. N. Mohapatra, M. K. Parida, G. Rajasekaran. Phys. Rev. **D 71** (2005) 057301; S. Agrawalla, M. K. Parida, R. N. Mohapatra, G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D 75** (2007) 033007, arXiv:hep-ph/0611225.

[212] H. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 075022, hep-ph/0408139.

[213] B. Dutta, Y. Mimura, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 095021, arXiv:0910.1043[hep-ph]; Shao-Long Chen, R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nussinov, Phys. Lett. **B 677** (2009) 311.

[214] R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida, Phys.Rev. **D 84** (2011) 095021, arXiv:1109.2188[hep-ph].

[215] G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. **D 85** (2012) 055018, arXiv:1108.4416.

[216] G. Bambhaniya, P. S. Bhupal Dev, S. Goswami, JHEP **1604** (2016) 046.

[217] G. 't Hooft, in "*Proceedings of the 1979 Cargese Summer Institute on Recent Developments in Gauge Theories*", edited by G. t Hooft et al. (Plenum Press, New York, 1980).

[218] P. S. Bhupal Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 81**, 013001 (2010); P. S. Bhupal Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 82**, 035014 (2010).

[219] R. L. Awasthi, M. K. Parida , Phys. Rev. **D 86** (2012) 093004, arXiv:1112.1825[hep-ph].

[220] A. Das, N. Okada, Phys. Rev. **D 88** (2013) 113001, arXiv:1207.3734.

[221] Y. Ahn, S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim, arXiv:1602.05276.

[222] H. S. Cheon, S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim, JCAP **0805:004** (2009); arXiv:0710.2416v4 [hep-ph].

[223] H. S. Cheon, S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett.**B 675** (2009) 203-209.

[224] S. K. Kang, A. Patra, arXiv:1412.4899v2 [hep-ph].

[225] S. K. Majee, M. K. Parida, and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Lett. **668**, (2008) 299.

[226] M. K. Parida and A. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Rev. **D 82**, (2010) 093017.

[227] S. K. Majee, M. K. Parida, A. Raychaudhuri, and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, (2007) 075003.

[228] M. K. Parida, S. Patra, "*Left-right models with light neutrino mass prediction and dominant neutrinoless double beta decay rate*", Phys. Lett. **B 718** (2013) 1407-1412, arXiv:1211.5000[hep-ph].

[229] R. L. Awasthi, M. K. Parida, S. Patra,"*Neutrino masses, dominant neutrinoless double beta decay, and observable lepton flavor violation in left-right models and SO(10) grand unification with low-mass W_R, Z_R bosons*", JHEP **08** (2013) 122, arXiv:1302.0672 [hep-ph].

[230] M. K. Parida, Ram Lal Awasthi, P. K. Sahu, "*Proton decay and new contributions to $0\nu2\beta$ decay in SO(10) with low-mass Z' boson, observable $n-\bar{n}$ oscillation, lepton flavor violation, and rare kaon decay*", JHEP **1501** (2015) 045, arXiv:1401.1412[hep-ph].

[231] E. Akhmedov, M. Lindner, E. Schnapka, J. W. F. Valle, "*Dynamical left-right symmetry breaking*", Phys. Rev. **D 53** (1996) 2752, hep-ph/9509255.

[232] F. Deppisch, "*Neutrino physics and LHC physics*", Acta Phys. Polon. **B 46** (2015) **no.11**, 2301.

[233] F. Deppisch, "*Neutrinos and coliider physics*", New J. Phys. **17** (2015) **no.3**, 036005, arXiv:1502.06541[hep-ph].

[234] A. Joshipura, J. W. V. F. Valle,"Invisible Higgs decays and neutrino physics", Nucl. Phys. **B 397** (1993) 105.

[235] M. Diaz, M. Garcia-Jarena, D. Restrepo, J. W. F. Valle, "Seesaw Majoron model of neutrino mass and novel signals in Higgs boson production at LEP", Nucl. Phys. **B 527** (1998) 44, hep-ph/9803362.

[236] C. Bonilla, J. W. F. Valle, J. C. Romao, "Neutrino mass and invisible Higgs decays at the LHC", Phys. Rev. **D 91 no.11**, 113015, arXiv:1502.01649[hep-ph].

[237] F. Deppisch, J. W. F. Valle, "*Enhanced lepton flavor violation in supersymmetric inverse seesaw model*", Phys. Rev. **D 76** (2005) 036001, hep-ph/0406040.

[238] F. Deppisch, T. Kosmas, J. W. F. Valle, "*Enhanced $\mu - e$-conversion in nuclei in the inverse seesaw model*", Nucl. Phys. **B 752** (2006) 80, hep-ph/0512360.

[239] F. Deppisch, N. Desai, J. W. F. Valle, "*Is charged lepton flavor violation a high-energy phenomenon*", Phys. Rev. **D 89** 051302(R),arXiv:1308.6789[hep-ph].

[240] A. Abada, M. E. Krauss, W. Porod, F. Staub, A. Vicente, C. Weiland, "Lepton flavor violation in low-scale seesaw model: SUSY and non-SUSY contributions", JHEP **11** (2014) 048, arXiv:1408.0138[hep-ph].

[241] S. Boucenna, J. W. F. Valle, A. Vicente, "*Predicting chrged lepton flavor violation from 3-3-1 gauge symmetry*", Phys. Rev. **D 92** (2015) no.5, 053001, arXiv:1502.077546[hep-ph].

[242] V. Cirigliano, A. Kurylov, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, P. Vogel,"*Neutrinoless double beta decay and lepton flavor violation*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 231802.

[243] M. E. Peshkin, T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 964; G. Altarelli, R. Barbieri, Phys. Lett.**B 253** (1991) 161; M. E. Peshkin, T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. **D 46** (1992) 381.

[244] E. Kh. Akhmedov, A. S. Josipura, S. Ranfone, J. W. F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. **B 441** (1995) 61.(LRS model with additional spontaneously broken U(1) global symmetry: $\nu_\tau \to \nu + J(Majoron)$ leading to nonvanishing m_{nu} (due to S-ν mixing . Gives low W_R scale. Charged LFV Majoron decays: Br.$(l_i \to l_j + J) > 10^{-4} - 10^{-6}$).

[245] A. Kumar, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Lett. **B 150** (1995) 191. (LRS model with additional spontaneously broken U(1) global symmetry).

[246] E. Akhmedov, M. Lindner, E. Schnapka, J. W. F. Valle, "*Left-right symmetry breaking in NJL approach*" Phys. Lett. **B 368** (1996) 270, hep-ph/9507275.

[247] E. Akhmedov, M. Lindner, E. Schnapka, J. W. F. Valle, "*Dynamical left-right symmetry breaking*", Phys. Rev. **D 53** (1996) 2752, hep-ph/9509255.

[248] M. K. Parida, A. Raychaudhuri, "Low-mass parity restoration, weak interaction phenomenology and grand unification", Phys. Rev. **D 26** (1982) 2364.

[249] M. Malinsky, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle, "*Novel supersymmetric SO(10) seesaw mechanism*",Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 16180, arXiv:hep-ph/0506296.

[250] E. A. Garces, O. Miranda, M. Tartola, J. W. F. Valle, "*Low-energy neutrino-electron scattering as a standard model probe: The potential of LENA as case study*", Phys. Rev. **D 85** (2012) 073006, arXiv:1112.3633[hep-ph].

[251] S. Blanchet, P. S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 82** (2010) 115025.

[252] A. Vicente, "*Lepton flavor violation in SUSY left-right symmetric theories*", arXiv:1010.0799[hep-ph].

[253] A. Abada, M. Lucente, "Looking for the minimal inverse seesaw realisation", Nucl. Phys. **B 885** (2014) 651, arXiv:1401.1507[hep-ph].

[254] C. Weiland,"*Effects of fermionic siglet neutrinos on high- and low-energy observables*", Ph. D. Thesis, arXiv:1311.5860[hep-ph].

[255] M. Lindner, M. Platscher, F. Queiroz ,"*A call for new physics: The muon magnetic moment, and lepton flavor violation*" , arXiv:1610.06587[hep-ph].(both in SM and LRS extn, MSSM)

[256] C. Bonilla, M. E. Krauss, T. Opterkuch, W. Porod, "*Perspectives of detecting lepton flavor violation in left-right symmetric models*", arXiv: 1611.07025[hep-ph].

[257] E. Ma, U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5716, hep-ph/9802114; E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D 66** (2002) 037301; T. Hambye, E. Ma, U. Sarkar, Nucl. Phys. **B 602** (2001) 23.

[258] F. Deppisch, P. S. Bhupal Dev, A. Pilaftsis, "*Neutrinos and collider physics*", New J. Phys. **17** (2015) **no.7**, 075019.

[259] J. W. F. Valle, M. Singer, "Lepton number violation with quasi Dirac neutrinos", Phys. Rev. **D 28** (1983) 540.

[260] J. W. F. Valle, M. Singer, "Neutrinoless double beta decay with quasi Dirac neutrinos", Phys. Rev. **D 27** (1983) 1672.

[261] B. Brahmachari, U. Sarkar, K. Sridhar,"*Ruling out low-energy left-right symmetry in unified theories*", Phys. Lett. **B 297** (1992) 105;

[262] F. Deppisch *et al.*, "*Reconciling 2 TeV excesses at the LHC in a linear seesaw left-right model*", Phys. Rev. **D 93** (2016) **no.1**, 013001, arXiv:1508.05940[hep-ph]. The SO(10) symmetry breaking chain used by these authors to arrive at low mass W_R bosons was originally suggested in 1985 in ref.[149].

[263] F. Deppisch *et al.*, "*Double beta decay, lepton flavor violation, and collider signature of left-right symmetric models with spontaneous D-Parity breaking*", Phys. Rev. **D 91** (2015) **no.1**, 015018,arXiv:1410.6427[hep-ph]; F. Deppisch *et al.*, "*Signals of right-handed gauge bosons at LHC ?*", Phys. Rev. **D 90** (2014) **no.5**, 053014, arXiv:1407.5384[hep-ph].

[264] J. Barry, L. Dorame, W. Rodejohann, Eur. Phys. J. **C 72** (2012) 2023; J. Barry and W. Rodejohann, JHEP **1309** (2013) 153, arXiv:1303.6324[hep-ph].

[265] B. A. Dobrescu, Z. Liu, arXiv:1506.06736.

[266] S. P. Das, F. P. Deppisch, O. Kittel, J. W. F. Valle, "*Heavy neutrinos and lepton flavor violation in left-right symmetric models at the LHC*", arXiv:1206.0256[hep-ph].

[267] A. Ilakovac, A. Pilaftsis, Nucl. Phys. **B 437**, 491 (1995) [hep-ph/9403398]; F. Deppisch, J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D 72**, 036001 (2005) [hep-ph/0406040]; C. Arina, F. Bazzocchi, N. Fornengo, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 161802 (2008); arXiv:0806.3225 [hep-ph]; M. Malinsky, T. Ohlsson, Z. -z. Xing, H. Zhang, Phys. Lett. **B 679**, 242-248 (2009); arXiv:0905.2889 [hep-ph]; M. Hirsch, T. Kernreiter, J. C. Romao, A. Villanova del Moral, JHEP **1001** (2010) 103; arXiv:0910.2435 [hep-ph]; F. Deppisch, T. S. Kosmas, J. W. F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. **B 752**, 80 (2006); arXiv:0910.3924 [hep-ph].

[268] E. Fernandez-Martinez, M. B. Gavela, J. Lopez-Pavon and O. Yasuda, Phys. Lett. **B 649**, 427 (2007); K. Kanaya, Prog. Theor. Phys.,**64**,2278 (1980); M. Malinsky, T. Ohlsson, H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 79**, 073009 (2009); G. Altarelli and D. Meloni, Nucl. Phys. **B 809**, 158 (2009); F. del Aguila and J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Phys. Lett. **B 672**, 158 (2009); F. del Aguila and J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra and J. de Blas, Acta Phys. Polon. **B 40**, 2901 (2009); arXiv:0910.2720 [hep-ph]; A. van der Schaaf, J. Phys. **G 29**, 2755 (2003); Y. Kuno, Nucl. Phys. **B**, Proc. Suppl. **149**, 376 (2005).

[269] C. R. Das, M.K. Parida, Eur. Phy. J. **C 20**, 121 (2001).

[270] K.S. Babu, R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2845 (1993).

[271] V. Tello, Ph. D. Thesis (2012), and references therein; M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, V. Tello, arXiv:1112.3061[hep-ph].

[272] F. Deppisch, M. Hirsch, H. Pas,"*Neutrinoless double beta decay and physics beyond the standard model*", J. Phys. **G 39** (2012) 124007, arXiv:1208.0727[hep-ph].

[273] R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D 34** (1986) 909.

[274] M. Hirsch, H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, O. Panella, Phys. Lett. **B 374** (1996) 7, aXiv:hep-ph/9602306. (1996)

[275] V. Tello, M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, F. Vissani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 151810, arXiv:10113522[hep-ph]; P. S. Bhupal Dev, S. Goswami, M. Mitra, Phys. Rev. **D 91** (11) (2015) 113004, arXiv:1405.1399[hep-ph].

[276] R. N. Mohapatra, J. D. Vergados, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47** (1981) 1713; C. E. Picciotto, M. S. Zahir, Phys. Rev. **D 26** (1982) 2320.

[277] M. Doi and T. Kotani, Prog. Theor. Phys. 89 (1993) 139; K. Muto, I. Blender, and H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, Z. Phys. **A 334** (1989) 177; M. Hirsch, K. Muto, T. Oda, and H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, Z. Phys. **A 347** (1994) 151; J. J. Gomez-Cadenas, J. Martin-Albo, M. Mezzetto, F. Monrabal, and M. Sorel, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 35, (2012) 29 ; arXiv:1109.5515 [hep-ex]; J. Lopez-Pavon, S. Pascoli and Chan-fai Wong, arXiv:1209.5342.

[278] G. Pantis, F. Simkovic, J. Vergados, and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. **C 53**, 695 (1996); arXiv:nucl-th/9612036 [nucl-th]; r, Z. Phys. **A 334** (1989) 187; J. Suhonen and O. Civitarese, Phys. Rept. 300 (1998) 123; J. Kotila and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. **C 85**, 034316 (2012); arXiv:1209.5722 [nucl-th];F. Simkovic, G. Pantis, J. Vergados, and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. **C 60**, (1999) 055502 ; arXiv:hep-ph/9905509 [hep-ph]; A. Faessler, A. Meroni, S. T. Petcov, F. Simkovic, and J. Vergados, Phys. Rev. **D 83**, (2011) 113003 ; arXiv:1103.2434 [hep-ph].

[279] M. Fukugita, T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 74 (1986) 45.

[280] S. Davidson, A. Ibarra, Phys. Lett. **B 535** (2002) 25.

[281] T. Hambye, G. Senjanovic, Phys. Lett. **B 582** (2004) 73.

[282] M. Yu. Khlopov, Andrei D Linde, Phys. Lett.**B 138** (1984) 265-268.

[283] L. Boubekeur, T. Hambye, G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett.**93** (2004) 111601.

[284] K. S. Babu, A. Bachri, H. Aissoui, Nucl. Phys. **B 738** (2006) 76.

[285] X. Ji, Y. Li, R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri, Y, Zhang, Phys. Lett. **B 651** (2007) 195.

[286] K. Kumekawa, T, Moroi, T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **92** (1994) 437; G. F. Giudice, M. Peleso, A. Riotto, T. Tkachev, JHEP **9908** (1999) 014, arXiv:9905242[hep-ph], T. Asaka, K. Hamaguchi, M.Kawasaki, T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B 464** (1999) 12,arXiv:9906366[hep-ph].

[287] R. Jannernot, S. Khalil, G. Lazaridis, Q. Shafi, JHEP **010** (2000) 012; arXiv:0002151[hep-ph].

[288] J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. **D 68** (2003) 072002; J. C. Pati, arXiv:0209160[hep-ph]; J. C. Pati, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A18** (2003) 4135-4156, Subnucl. Ser. **40** (2003) 194-236.

[289] For a review of leptogenesis and LFV processes see E. Molinaro,"CP violation in the lepton sector, thermal leptogenesis and lepton flavor violation", Ph. D. Thesis, SISSA, Italy (2010).

[290] S. Blanchet, T. Hambye, F. X. Josse-Michaux, JHEP **1004** (2010) 023.

[291] M. Hirsch *et al.*, "*Thermal leptogenesis in extended supersymmetric seesaw*",Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 011701.

[292] E. Ma, N. Sahu, U. Sarkar,"Leptogenesis below the Davidson-Ibarra bound", J. Phys. **G 32** (2006), L65-L68; P. -H. Gu, U. Sarkar, "*Leptogenesis with linear, inverse or double seesaw*",Phys. Lett. **B 694** (2011) 226, arXiv:1007.2323; P. -H. Gu, M. Hirsch, U. Sarkar, J.W.F. Valle, "Neutrino masses, leptogenesis and dark matter in hybrid seesaw", Phys. Rev. **D 79** (2009) 033010.

[293] E. Ma, N. Sahu, U. Sarkar, "Leptogenesis in an extended NMSSM model", J. Phys. **G 34** (2007) **no.4**, 741, hep-ph/0611257.

[294] F. Deppisch, J. Harz, M. Hirsch, W. -C. Huang, "*Falsifying high-scale leptogenesis at LHC*", Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 221601, arXiv:1312.4447[hep-ph]; F.Deppisch, J. Harz, M. Hirsch, W. -C. Huang, H. Pas, "*Falsifying high-scale baryogenesis with neutrinoless double beta decay and lepton flavor violation*", Phys. Rev. **D 92** (2015) **no.3**, 036005, arXiv:1503.04825[hep-ph].

[295] A. Abada, G. Arcadi, M. Lucente, "*Dark matter in the minimal inverse seesaw mechanism*", JCAP, **1410** (2014) 001, arXiv:1406.6556[hep-ph]; A. Abada, S. Nasri, "*Renormalisation group equations of a cold dark matter*", Phys. Rev. **D 88** (2013) **no.1**, 016006, arXiv:1304.3917[hep-ph].

[296] H. Nishino *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 141801; S. Raby *et al.*, arXiv:0810.4551 [hep-ph]; M. Shiozawa, talk presented at TAUP 2013, 8-13 Sept. Asilomar, CA, USA.

[297] J. Bergstrom, M. Malinsky, Tommy Ohlsson, He Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D 81** (2010) 116006, arXiv:1004.4628[hep-ph].